arXiv:1509.02178v2 [math.MG] 8 Nov 2015

EVOLUTION VARIATIONAL INEQUALITY AND WASSERSTEIN CONTROL IN VARIABLE CURVATURE CONTEXT

CHRISTIAN KETTERER

Abstract. In this note we continue the analysis of metric measure space with variable lower Ricci curvature bounds. First, we study (κ, N)-convex functions on metric spaces where κ is a lower semi-continuous function, and gradient flow curves in the sense of a new evolution variational inequality that captures the information that is provided by κ. Then, in the spirit of previous work by Erbar, Kuwada and Sturm [EKS15] we introduce an entropic curvature-dimension condition $CD^e(\kappa, N)$ for metric measure spaces and lower semi-continuous κ. This condition is stable with respect to Gromov convergence and we show that is equivalent to the reduced curvature-dimension condition $CD^*(\kappa, N)$ provided the space is essentially non-branching. Finally, we introduce a Riemannian curvature-dimension condition in terms of an evolution variational inequality on the Wasserstein space. A consequence is a new differential Wasserstein contraction estimate.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Preliminaries 3
3. (κ, N)-convex function and EVI gradient flow curves 4
4. Reduced and entropic curvature dimension condition 13
5. Riemannian curvature-dimension condition 18
6. Wasserstein contraction 22
References 26

1. Introduction

In [Ket] the author introduces a curvature-dimension condition $CD(\kappa, N)$ for a metric measure space $(X, \mathrm{d}_X, \mathrm{m}_X)$ in terms of displacement convexity on the L^2-Wasserstein space where $\kappa : X \to \mathbb{R}$ is a lower semi-continuous function and $N \in [1, \infty)$. If κ is constant, the condition is precisely the definition that was proposed by Lott, Sturm and Villani in [Stu06a, Stu06b, LV09, Vil09]. The condition $CD(\kappa, N)$ has geometric consequences as a generalized Bishop-Gromov estimates and a generalized Bonnet-Myers theorem. But it cannot recognize Riemannian-type spaces which are characterized by linearity of the induced heat flow of their Cheeger energy. In the context of constant lower curvature bounds this obstacle was resolved by Ambrosio, Gigli and Savaré in [AGS14a, AGS14b] who showed that displacement convexity in combination with linearity of the heat flow is equivalent to the existence of gradient flow curves in the sense of an *evolution variational inequality* (EVI) for the Boltzmann Entropy on the L^2-Wasserstein.

There are two important extensions of this idea. On the one hand, Erbar, Kuwada and Sturm [EKS15] introduce a finite dimensional version of the EVI-formula to define a Riemannian curvature-dimension condition. As part of their program they also introduce a so-called *entropic curvature-dimension conditon* that is a more PDE-friendly modification of the original CD-condition. On the other hand, Sturm [Stu] defines EVI_κ-gradient flow curves where κ is a lower semi-continuous function. He proves several implications and equivalences, and also stability of this concept under measured Gromov convergence.

In this note we introduce a Riemannian curvature-dimension condition for variable lower curvature bounds. For this purpose, we study (κ, N)-convex functions on metric spaces where $\kappa : X \to \mathbb{R}$ is lower semi-continuous. We use a new characterization of (κ, N)-convexity in terms of an integrated inequality [Ket] that involves so-called generalized dirstortion coefficients $\sigma_\kappa^{(t)}$ (Defintion 3.4, Defintion 3.14). Provided the metric space (X, d_X) admits a first variation formula, we can deduce an evolution variational inequality for gradient flow curves of (κ, N)-convex functions. More precisely, we say that an absolutely continuous curve $(x_s)_{s\in(0,\infty)}$ is an $EVI_{\kappa,N}$ gradient flow curve of f starting in $x_0 \in X$ if $\lim_{s\to 0} x_s = x_0$, and if for all $z \in D(f)$ there exists a constant speed geodesic $\gamma^s : [0, 1] \to X$ between x_s and z such that the *evolution variational inequality*

$$\frac{d}{dt}\sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa^-_{\gamma^s}/N}(|\dot\gamma^s|)|_{t=1} \geq \frac{1}{2N}\frac{d}{ds}\mathrm{d}_X(x_s, z)^2 + \frac{d}{dt}\sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa^+_{\gamma^s}/N}(|\dot\gamma^s|)|_{t=0}\frac{U_N(z)}{U_N(x_s)} \tag{1}$$

holds for a.e. $s > 0$ where $U_N(x) = e^{-\frac{f}{N}}$. By monotonicity of the derivatives of the distortion coefficients at 0 and 1 the evolution variational inequality (1) is consistent with the previous versions of evolution variational inequalities by Ambrosio, Gigli, and Savaré and by Erbar, Kuwada and Sturm. Furthermore, an $EVI_{\kappa,N}$-gradient flow is also an EVI_κ-gradient flow in the sense of Sturm (Lemma 3.27). In the special case of constant κ our EVI-inequality becomes the one in [EKS15] (Remark 3.23). In addition, we prove that the existence of $EVI_{\kappa,N}$-gradient curves yields strong (κ, N)-convexity (Theorem 3.28).

Then, we use this idea in the context of the L^2-Wasserstein space and the Boltzmann Entropy over some metric measure space $(X, \mathrm{d}_X, \mathrm{m}_X)$. In the spirit of Erbar, Kuwada and Sturm we introduce an entropic curvature-dimension conditon $CD^e(\kappa, N)$. More precisely, a metric measure space $(X, \mathrm{d}_X, \mathrm{m}_X)$ satifies the *entropic curvature-dimension condition* for some admissible function κ and $N \geq 0$ if for any pair $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in D(\mathrm{Ent})$ with compact support there exists a L^2-Wasserstein geodesic Π between μ_0 and μ_1 such that for all $t \in [0, 1]$

$$U_N(\mu_t) \geq \sigma^{(1-t)}_{\kappa^-_\Pi/N\Theta^2}U_N(\mu_0) + \sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa^+_\Pi/N\Theta^2}U_N(\mu_1)$$

where $U_N(\mu) = e^{-\frac{1}{N}\mathrm{Ent}}$, $(e_t)_\star\Pi = \mu_t$, $\Theta = W_2(\mu_0, \mu_1)$ and

$$\kappa_\Pi(t\Theta)\Theta^2 = \int \kappa(e_t(\gamma)|\dot\gamma|^2 d\Pi(\gamma).$$

We show that the condition CD^e is stable under measured Gromov convergence and that is equivalent to the reduced curvature-dimension condition $CD^*(\kappa, N)$ [Ket] provided a non-branching assumption is satisfied. Moreover, the entropic curvature-dimension condition already implies local compactness and finite Hausdorff dimension of the underlying metric measure space.

Then, we introduce a Riemannian curvature-dimension that is defined via combination of the entropic curvature-dimension condition and linearity of the heat flow. We show that the Riemannian curvature-dimension condition can be characterized by the existence of *Wasserstein* $EVI_{\kappa,N}$*-gradient flow curves* that is a straightforward modification of inequality (1) in Wasserstein space context(Theorem 5.7). Furthermore, the Riemannian curvature-dimension condition is stable w.r.t. measured Gromov convergence (Theorem 5.8). Hence, these spaces arise naturally as non-smooth limit spaces of Riemannian manifolds (Corollary 5.9). By monotonicity an $EVI_{\kappa,N}$ gradient flow curve is also an EVI_{κ}-gradient flow curve in the sense of Sturm [Stu]. The latter implies the following contraction estimate. Let Π^s be the unique L^2-Wasserstein geodesic between μ^s and ν^s. Then the following contraction estimate holds

$$\frac{d^+}{ds}W_2(\mu_s,\nu_s)^2 \leq -\int_0^1\int \kappa(\gamma(t))|\dot{\gamma}|^2 d\Pi(\gamma)^s dt.$$

We also show differential contraction estimates for $N < \infty$ that imply previous differential control estimates (Theorem 6.4).

In section 2 we recall some important preliminaries on metric measure space and Wasserstein geometry. In section 3 introduce generalized distortion coefficients, (κ, N)-convexity on metric spaces, the evolution variational inequality, and prove several implications. In section 4 we introduce the entropic curvature-dimension condition for variable lower curvature bounds, and prove equivalence with the reduced curvature-dimension condition in the context of essentially non-branching metric measure spaces. In section 5 we define Wasserstein-$EVI_{\kappa,N}$-gradient flow curves that characterize a Riemannian curvature dimension condition. In section 6 we deduce differential contraction estimates.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 (Metric measure space)**.** Let (X, d_X) be a complete and separable metric space, and let m_X be a locally finite Borel measure on (X, d_X). That is, for all $x \in X$ there exists $r > 0$ such that $\mathrm{m}_X(B_r(x)) \in (0,\infty)$. Let $\mathcal{O}_X$ and $\mathcal{B}_X$ be the topology of open sets and the family of Borel sets, respectively. A triple $(X, \mathrm{d}_X, \mathrm{m}_X)$ will be called *metric measure space*. We assume that $\mathrm{m}_X(X) \neq 0$.

(X, d_X) is called *length space* if $\mathrm{d}_X(x, y) = \inf \mathrm{L}(\gamma)$ for all $x, y \in X$, where the infimum runs over all rectifiable curves γ in X connecting x and y. (X, d_X) is called *geodesic space* if every two points $x, y \in X$ are connected by a curve γ such that $\mathrm{d}_X(x, y) = \mathrm{L}(\gamma)$. Distance minimizing curves of constant speed are called *geodesics*. A length space, which is complete and locally compact, is a geodesic space and proper ([BBI01, Theorem 2.5.23]). Rectifiable curves always admit a reparametrization proportional to arc length, and therefore become Lipschitz curves. In general, we assume that a geodesic $\gamma : [0, 1] \to X$ is parametrized proportional to its length. The set of all such geodesics $\gamma : [0, 1] \to X$ is denoted with $\mathcal{G}(X)$ and the set of all Lipschitz curves $\gamma : [0, 1] \to X$ parametrized proportional to arc-length is denoted with $\mathcal{LC}(X)$. $\mathcal{G}(X)$ and $\mathcal{LC}(X)$ are equipped with the topology that is induced by uniform convergence. More precisely, we always consider the distance $\mathrm{d}_\infty(\gamma, \tilde{\gamma}) = \sup_{t\in[0,1]} |\gamma(t) - \tilde{\gamma}(t)|$.

Let $\mathcal{P}_2(X)$ be the L^2-Wasserstein space over (X, d_X) equipped with the L^2-Wasserstein distance W_2. The subspace of absolutely continuous probability measure with respect to m_X is denoted by $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathrm{m}_X)$. Recall that a *dynamical optimal coupling* between $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in \mathcal{P}_2(X)$ is a probability measure Π on $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G}(X))$ such that $(e_0, e_1)_\star \Pi$ is an optimal coupling of μ_0 and μ_1. Then, the curve $t \in [0,1] \mapsto \mu_t = (e_t)_\star \Pi$ is a geodesic in $\mathcal{P}_2(X)$ with respect to W_2. Moreover, for each geodesic μ_t in $\mathcal{P}_2(X)$ there exists a dynamical optimal plan Π. In rest of the article, we will not distinguish between μ_t and the corresponding probability measure Π on $\mathcal{P}(X)$.

3. (κ, N)-CONVEX FUNCTION AND EVI GRADIENT FLOW CURVES

Theorem 3.1 (J. C. F. Sturm's comparison theorem)**.** *Let $\kappa, \kappa' : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous function such that $\kappa' \geq \kappa$ on $[a,b]$ and $\mathfrak{s}_{\kappa'} > 0$ on $(a,b]$. Then $\mathfrak{s}_\kappa \geq \mathfrak{s}_{\kappa'}$ on $[a,b]$.*

A generalization of the previous theorem is the following result.

Theorem 3.2 (Sturm-Picone oscillation theorem)**.** *Let $\kappa, \kappa' : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be continuous such that $\kappa' \geq \kappa$ on $[a,b]$. Let u and v be solutions of (2) with respect to κ and κ' respectively. If $u(a) = u(b) = 0$ and $u > 0$ on (a,b), then either $u = \lambda v$ for some $\lambda > 0$ or there exists $x_1 \in (a,b)$ such that $v(x_1) = 0$.*

Definition 3.3 (generalized sin-functions)**.** Let $\kappa : [0,L] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function. The generalized sin function $\mathfrak{s}_\kappa : [0,L] \to \mathbb{R}$ is the unique solution of

$$v'' + \kappa v = 0. \tag{2}$$

such that $\mathfrak{s}_\kappa(0) = 0$ and $\mathfrak{s}_\kappa'(0) = 1$. The generalized cos-function is $\mathfrak{c}_\kappa = \mathfrak{s}_\kappa'$.

Definition 3.4 (generalized distortion coefficients)**.** Consider $\kappa : [0,L] \to \mathbb{R}$ that is continuous and $\theta \in [0,L]$. Then

$$\sigma_\kappa^{(t)}(\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{\mathfrak{s}_\kappa(t\theta)}{\mathfrak{s}_\kappa(\theta)} & \text{if } \mathfrak{s}_\kappa(t) > 0 \text{ for all } t \in (0,\theta] \\ \infty & \text{otherwise .} \end{cases}$$

If $\sigma_\kappa^{(t)}(\theta) < \infty$, $t \mapsto \sigma_\kappa^{(t)}(\theta)$ is a solution of

$$u''(t) + \kappa(t\theta)\theta^2 u(t) = 0 \tag{3}$$

satisfying $u(0) = 0$ and $u(1) = 1$. We set $\sigma_\kappa^{(t)}(1) = \sigma_\kappa^{(t)}$. Then $\sigma_\kappa^{(t)}(\theta) = \sigma_{\kappa\theta^2}^{(t)}$.

If $\kappa : [0,L] \to \mathbb{R}$ is just lower semi-continuous, we can extend the previous definition in the following way. Define bounded continuous functions by

$$\kappa_n(x) = \min\left[\min_{y \in [0,L]} \left\{\kappa(y) + n|x-y|\right\}, n\right] \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{4}$$

The sequence (κ_n) is montone increasing and converges pointwise to κ. Then, $\sigma_{\kappa_n}^{(t)}(\theta)$ is monotone increasing we define the generalized distortion coefficient with respect to κ by

$$\sigma_\kappa^{(t)}(\theta) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \sigma_{\kappa_n}^{(t)}(\theta) \in [0,\infty].$$

Lemma 3.5 ([Ket])**.** *Let $\kappa : [0,L] \to \mathbb{R}$ be lower semi-continuous and $\theta \in [0,L]$. If $\sigma_\kappa^{(t_0)}(\theta) = \infty$ for some $t_0 \in (0,1)$ then $\sigma_\kappa^{(t)}(\theta) = \infty$ for any $t \in (0,1)$.*

In particular, either one has $\sigma_\kappa^{(t)}(\theta) < \infty$ for any $t \in (0,1)$ and

$$\sigma_\kappa^{(t)}(\theta) = \mathfrak{s}_\kappa(t\theta)/\mathfrak{s}_\kappa(\theta)$$

where $\mathfrak{s}_\kappa(\theta) \neq 0$, *or* $\sigma_\kappa^{(\cdot)}(\theta) \equiv \infty$. *Here,* $\mathfrak{s}_\kappa : [0,\theta] \to \mathbb{R}$ *is the pointwise limit of the drecreasing sequence* $\mathfrak{s}_{\kappa_n} : [0,\theta] \to \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 3.6. $\mathfrak{s}_\kappa$ is upper semi-continuous. Whence, $\sigma_\kappa^{(t)}(\theta) < \infty$ is upper semi-continuous in t. On the other hand, $\sigma_\kappa^{(t)}(\theta)$ is the limit of an non-decreasing sequence of continuous function. Therefore, $\sigma_\kappa^{(t)}(\theta) < \infty$ is continuous, and the sequence in (4) converges uniformily. The definition of $\sigma_\kappa^{(\cdot)}(\theta)$ does not depend on the increasing sequence κ_n that converges pointwise to κ.

Lemma 3.7. *If* $\sigma_\kappa^{(t)}(\theta) < \infty$, *we have*

$$\sigma_\kappa^{(t)}(\theta) = \int_0^1 g(s,t)\theta^2 \kappa \circ \gamma(s) \sigma_\kappa^{(s)}(\theta) ds + t.$$

with $g(s,t)$ *beeing the Green function of* $[0,1]$.

Proof. If κ is continuous, this is clear. If κ is lower semi-continuous, we can choose $\kappa_n \uparrow \kappa$. Then $\sigma_{\kappa_n}^{(t)}(\theta) \uparrow \sigma_\kappa^{(t)}(\theta)$ uniformily as $n \to \infty$ by Dini's theorem. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1 g(s,t)\theta^2 \kappa \circ \gamma(s) \sigma_\kappa^{(s)}(\theta) ds &= \lim_{M\to\infty} \int_0^1 g(s,t)\theta^2 \kappa \circ \gamma(s) \sigma_\kappa^{(s)}(\theta) \wedge M ds \\ &= \lim_{M\to\infty} \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_0^1 g(s,t)\theta^2 \kappa_n \circ \gamma(s) \sigma_{\kappa_n}^{(s)}(\theta) \wedge M ds \\ &\leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \int_0^1 g(s,t)\theta^2 \kappa_n \circ \gamma(s) \sigma_{\kappa_n}^{(s)}(\theta) ds = \sigma_\kappa^{(t)}(\theta) + t < \infty \qquad (5)\end{aligned}$$

where t is fixed and $M > 0$. Hence, $g(s,t)\theta^2\kappa \circ \gamma(s)\sigma_\kappa^{(s)}(\theta)$ is integrable in $s \in [0,1]$. If we apply the theorem of dominated convergence to $g(s,t)\theta^2\kappa_n \circ \gamma(s)\sigma_{\kappa_n}^{(s)}(\theta)$ we obtain equality in (5). □

Proposition 3.8 ([Ket]). $\sigma_\kappa^{(t)}(\theta)$ *is non-decreasing with respect to* $\kappa : [0,\theta] \to \mathbb{R}$. *More precisely*

$$\kappa(x) \geq \kappa'(x)\ \forall x \in [0,\theta] \quad \textit{implies} \quad \sigma_\kappa^{(t)}(\theta) \geq \sigma_{\kappa'}^{(t)}(\theta)\ \forall t \in [0,1].$$

Lemma 3.9. *Let* $\kappa_i, \kappa : [0,L] \to \mathbb{R}$ *be lower semi-continuous, such that*

$$\liminf_{i\to\infty} \kappa_i(x) \geq \kappa(x)$$

for any $x \in [0,L]$. *Then* $\liminf_{i\to\infty} \sigma_{\kappa_i}^{(t)}(\theta) \geq \sigma_\kappa^{(t)}(\theta)$ *for any* $t \in [0,1]$.

Proof. First, we assume that any distortion coefficient is finite. Let $\epsilon > 0$, and let $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $i \geq i_0$

$$\kappa_i(x) \geq \kappa(x) - \epsilon \geq \kappa_n - \epsilon$$

for each $x \in [0,L]$ and κ_n as before. By monotonicity, we have

$$\liminf_{i\to\infty} \sigma_{\kappa_i}^{(t)}(\theta) \geq \sigma_{\kappa-\epsilon}^{(t)}(\theta)$$

for each $t \in [0,1]$. κ_n is a sequence of monotone increasing continuous functions converging to κ. Then, by stability of $\sigma_\kappa^{(t)}$ with respect to uniform change of κ, for each $\delta > 0$ there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\sigma_\kappa^{(t)}(\theta) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \sigma_{\kappa_n}^{(t)}(\theta) \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} \sigma_{\kappa_n-\epsilon}^{(t)}(\theta) + \delta \leq \sigma_{\kappa-\epsilon}^{(t)}(\theta) + \delta \leq \liminf_{i\to\infty} \sigma_{\kappa_i}^{(t)}(\theta) + \delta$$

where the first equality is the definition of $\sigma_{\kappa}^{(t)}(\theta)$ and the first inequality is continuity with respect to uniform changes of κ_n. The only case that is left is when $\sigma_{\kappa}^{(t)}(\theta) = \infty$. But then by monotonicity it follows for a subsequence that $\kappa_i \uparrow \kappa$. From that we can deduce that $\lim_{i\to\infty} \sigma_{\kappa_i}^{(t)}(\theta) = \infty$ for any $t \in [0,1]$ by comparison the coefficients w.r.t. κ_i and with the coefficients w.r.t $\kappa_n \uparrow \kappa$. □

We define $\kappa^- : [0,\theta] \to \mathbb{R}$ by $\kappa^-(x) = \kappa(\theta - x)$.

Lemma 3.10 ([Ket]). *Consider* $\kappa, \kappa' : [0,\theta] \to \mathbb{R}$. *Then*

$$\sigma_{\kappa}^{(t)}(\theta)^{1-\lambda} \cdot \sigma_{\kappa'}^{(t)}(\theta)^{\lambda} \geq \sigma_{(1-\lambda)\kappa+\lambda\kappa'}^{(t)}(\theta).$$

Especially, $\kappa \in LSC([0,1]) \mapsto \log \sigma_\kappa$ *and* $\kappa \mapsto \log \sigma_{\kappa^-}$ *are convex.* $LSC([0,1])$ *denotes the space of lower semi-continuous functions.*

Corollary 3.11. *For* $t \in [0,1]$ *the function* $G : \mathbb{R}^2 \times LSC([0,1]) \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ *given by*

$$G(x,y,\kappa) = \log\left[\sigma_{\kappa^-}^{(1-t)} e^x + \sigma_{\kappa^+}^{(t)} e^y\right] \quad \textit{is convex.}$$

Proof. We argue like in [EKS15, Lemma 2.11]. Note that

$$G(x,y,\kappa) = F(\log \sigma_{\kappa^-}^{(1-t)} + x, \log \sigma_{\kappa^+}^{(t)} + y)$$

with $F(u,v) = \log(e^u + e^v)$. Then the assertion follows since F is convex, $x \mapsto F(u+x, v+x)$ is monotone increasing and $\kappa \mapsto \log \sigma_{\kappa^{+/-}}$ is convex. □

Remark 3.12. If $\Pi \in \mathcal{P}(LSC([0,1])$, then $\kappa_\Pi : t \mapsto \int \kappa(t) d\Pi(\kappa) \in LSC([0,1])$ by Fatou's Lemma. Hence, if $f : LSC([0,1]) \to \mathbb{R}$ is convex, we obtain

$$\int f(\kappa) d\Pi(\kappa) \leq f(\kappa_\Pi).$$

For the rest of the article we use the following notation. Let (X, d_X) be a metric space, and let $\kappa : X \to \mathbb{R}$ be lower semi-continuous. We set $\kappa_\gamma = \kappa \circ \bar\gamma$ where $\gamma : [0,1] \to X$ is a constant speed geodesic in X and $\bar\gamma$ its unit speed reparametrization. We denote with $\gamma^-(t) = \gamma(1-t)$ the reverse parametrization of γ, and we also write $\gamma = \gamma^+$, and $\kappa_\gamma^{-/+} := \kappa_{\gamma^{-/+}}$.

Proposition 3.13 ([Ket]). *Let* $\kappa : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ *be lower semi-continuous and* $u : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ *be upper semi-continous. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) $u'' + \kappa u \leq 0$ *in the distributional sense, that is*

$$\int_a^b \varphi''(t) u(t) dt \leq -\int_a^b \varphi(t)\kappa(t)u(t)dt \tag{6}$$

for any $\varphi \in C_0^\infty((a,b))$ *with* $\varphi \geq 0$.

(ii) *It holds*

$$u(\gamma(t)) \geq (1-t)u(\gamma(0)) + tu(\gamma(1) + \int_0^1 g(t,s)\kappa(\gamma(s))\theta^2 u(\gamma(s))ds \tag{7}$$

for any constant speed geodesic $\gamma : [0,1] \to [a,b]$ *where* $\theta = |\dot\gamma| = L(\gamma)$ *with* $g(s,t)$ *beeing the Green function of* $[0,1]$.

(iii) *There is a constant* $0 < L \le b - a$ *such that*

$$u(\gamma(t)) \ge \sigma^{(1-t)}_{\kappa^-_\gamma}(\theta)u(\gamma(0)) + \sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa^+_\gamma}(\theta)u(\gamma(1)) \tag{8}$$

for any constant speed geodesic $\gamma : [0,1] \to [a,b]$ *with* $\theta = |\dot\gamma| = L(\gamma) \le L$. *We use the convention* $\infty \cdot 0 = 0$.

(iv) *(8) holds for any constant speed geodesic* $\gamma : [0,1] \to [a,b]$.

Definition 3.14. Consider a metric space (Y, d_Y) and a continuous function $\kappa : Y \to \mathbb{R}$. We say a function $u : Y \to [0,\infty)$ is *κu-concave* if for each geodesic $\gamma : [0,1] \to D(u) = \{u > 0\}$

$$u(\gamma(t)) \ge \sigma^{(1-t)}_{\kappa^-_\gamma}(\mathrm{L}(\gamma))u(\gamma(0)) + \sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa^+_\gamma}(\mathrm{L}(\gamma))u(\gamma(1)) \tag{9}$$

where $\kappa_\gamma = \kappa \circ \bar\gamma : [0, \mathrm{L}(\gamma)] \to Y$, $\bar\gamma$ is the unit speed reparametrization of γ and $D(u)$ is equipped with the induced metric.

We say $u : Y \to [0,\infty)$ is *weakly κu-concave* if for all $x, y \in D(u)$ there exists a geodesic $\gamma : [0,1] \to Y$ between x and y such that (9) holds. To distinguish κu-concavity from weak κu-concavity we will also say *strong κu-convexity.*

Consider a function $S : Y \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$. We say S is (weakly) (κ, N)-convex if $e^{-\frac{S}{N}} =: U_N : Y \to [0,\infty)$ is (weakly) $\frac{\kappa}{N}U_N$-concave. We use the convention $e^{-\infty} = 0$. Again, we will also say that S is *strongly (κ, N)-convex* instead of S is (κ, N)-convex.

Lemma 3.15. *Let* Y *be a metric space as in the previous definition.*

(i) *If* S *is (weakly)* (κ, N)*-convex, then* $\lambda \cdot f$ *is (weakly)* $(\lambda\kappa, \lambda N)$*-convex for* $\lambda > 0$.

(ii) *If* S_1 *is weakly* (κ_1, N_1)*-convex and* S_2 *is strongly* (κ_2, N_2)*-convex, then* $S_1 + S_2 : D(S_1) \cap D(S_2) \to [-\infty, \infty)$ *is weakly* $(\kappa_1 + \kappa_2, N_1 + N_2)$*-convex.*

(iii) *If* S *is (weakly)* (κ, N)*-convex and* $\kappa' \le \kappa$ *and* $N' \ge N$ *then* S *is (weakly)* (κ', N')*-convex.*

Proof. Apply Proposition 3.8 and Lemma 3.10. ☐

Corollary 3.16. *If* $S : Y \to (-\infty, \infty]$ *is a (weakly)* (κ, N)*-convex function then* S *is (weakly)* κ*-convex in the sense that for each geodesic* γ *in* $D(S)$ *(for each pair* $x_0, x_1 \in D(S)$ *there exists a geodesic* $\gamma : [0,1] \to Y$ *with)*

$$S(\gamma_t) \le (1-t)S(x_0) + tS(x_1) - \int_0^1 g(s,t)\, \mathrm{d}_Y(x_0,x_1)^2 \kappa \circ \gamma(s) dt$$

for all $t \in [0,1]$.

Proof. Let us assume that S is (κ, N)-convex. Consider a geodesic γ. Then (6) holds for $u(s) = e^{-\frac{1}{N}S\circ\gamma(s)}$ for κ/N instead of κ. Multiply with N and let $N \to \infty$. We obtain a distributional inequality for S along γ that characterizes κ-convexity. ☐

Remark 3.17. Let (M, g_M) be a Riemannian manifold. A smooth function $u : M \to [0,\infty)$ is (weakly) κu-convex if $\nabla^2 u \ge \kappa u g_M$ and a smooth function $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ is (κ, N)-convex if $\nabla^2 f \ge \kappa g_M + \frac{1}{N}(df \otimes df)$.

For a function $f : [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ the right and left derivatives are denoted by

$$\frac{d^+}{dt} f(t) = \limsup_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{f(t+h) - f(t)}{h} \quad \& \quad \frac{d^-}{dt} f(t) = \liminf_{h \uparrow 0} \frac{f(t+h) - f(t)}{h}.$$

We can consider the derivative of $\sigma_\kappa^{(t)/(1-t)}(\theta)$ in the following sense:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d^+}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \sigma_\kappa^{(t)/(1-t)}(\theta) \in \mathbb{R} & \text{if } \sigma_\kappa^{(t)/(1-t)}(\theta) < \infty \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3.18. *Consider* $\kappa \in LSC([0,L])$ *and* $\theta \in [0,L]$ *such that* $\sigma_\kappa^{(t)/(1-t)}(\theta) < \infty$. *Then*

$$\frac{d^+}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \sigma_\kappa^{(t)}(\theta) \uparrow \quad \textit{and} \quad \frac{d^-}{dt}\Big|_{t=1} \sigma_\kappa^{(t)}(\theta) = -\frac{d^+}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \sigma_\kappa^{(1-t)}(\theta) \downarrow \tag{10}$$

if κ *is non-decreasing.*

Proof. The distortion coefficients $\sigma_\kappa^{(t)}(|\dot\gamma|)$ are monotone increasing with respect to κ and satisfy $\sigma_{\kappa_\gamma}^{(0)}(|\dot\gamma|) = 0$ and $\sigma_{\kappa_\gamma}^{(1)}(|\dot\gamma|) = 1$. □

Remark 3.19. If $\sigma_\kappa^{(t)}(\theta) < \infty$, $\frac{d^+}{dt}|_{t=0}\sigma_\kappa^{(t)}(\theta)$ has the following respresentation.

$$\frac{d^+}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \sigma_\kappa^{(t)}(\theta) = \int_0^1 (1-s)\theta^2 \kappa \circ \gamma(s) \sigma_\kappa^{(s)}(\theta) ds + 1.$$

This follows from

$$\sigma_\kappa^{(t)}(\theta) - t = \int_0^1 g(s,t)\theta^2 \kappa \circ \gamma(s) \sigma_\kappa^{(s)}(\theta) ds$$

and $\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0} g(s,t) = (1-s)$. Similar for $\frac{d^-}{dt}|_{t=1}\sigma_\kappa^{(t)}(\theta)$

Lemma 3.20. *Let* $\kappa_n, \kappa : [0,\theta] \to \mathbb{R}$ *be lower semi-continuous such that*

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \kappa_n(x) \geq \kappa(x).$$

Assume that $\frac{d^+}{dt}|_{t=0}\sigma_{\kappa_n}^{(t)}(\theta)$, $\frac{d^+}{dt}|_{t=0}\sigma_\kappa^{(t)}(\theta) < \infty$. *Then*

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{d^+}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \sigma_{\kappa_n}^{(t)}(\theta) \geq \frac{d^+}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \sigma_\kappa^{(t)}(\theta). \tag{11}$$

Proof. If κ is continuous, then uniform changes of κ imply that $\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0,1}\sigma_\kappa^{(t)}(\theta)$ changes uniformily by the previous remark. The rest of the proof works as in Lemma 3.9. □

Lemma 3.21. *Let* κ *be continuous and let* $u \in C^1([a,b])$. *Then* u *satisfies one of the equivalent statements in Proposition 3.13 if and only if there exists a constant* $L \in (0, b-a)$ *such that*

$$\frac{d}{dt}\sigma_{\kappa_\gamma}^{(t)}(|\dot\gamma|)|_{t=0} u \circ \gamma(1) \leq \frac{d}{dt}\sigma_{\kappa_\gamma}^{(t)}(|\dot\gamma|)|_{t=1} u \circ \gamma(0) + (u \circ \gamma)'(0) \tag{12}$$

for any constant speed geodesic $\gamma : [0,1] \to [a,b]$.

Proof. "⇒": Consider (8). We add $u \circ \gamma(0)$ on both sides of the inequality and devide by t.

$$\frac{1}{t}\left(u(\gamma(t)) - u(\gamma(0))\right) \geq \frac{1}{t}\left(\sigma_{\kappa_\gamma^-}^{(1-t)}(|\dot\gamma|) - 1\right) u(\gamma(0)) + \frac{1}{t}\sigma_{\kappa_\gamma^+}^{(t)}(|\dot\gamma|) u(\gamma(1))$$

Taking the limit $t \to 0$ yields

$$(u \circ \gamma)'(0) \geq -\frac{d}{dt}\sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa^-_\gamma}(|\dot{\gamma}|)|_{t=1} u(\gamma(0)) + \frac{d}{dt}\sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa^+_\gamma}(|\dot{\gamma}|)|_{t=0} u(\gamma(1)). \tag{13}$$

"$\Leftarrow$": If $\kappa_\gamma \geq K \in \mathbb{R}$, Lemma 3.18 implies

$$\frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0}\sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa_\gamma}(|\dot{\gamma}|) \geq \frac{d}{dt}|_{t=0}\sigma^{(t)}_{K}(|\dot{\gamma}|).$$

and similar for $\sigma^{(1-t)}_{\kappa^-_\gamma}(|\dot{\gamma}|)$. Hence

$$\frac{\mathfrak{s}_K(|\dot{\gamma}|)}{|\dot{\gamma}|}(u \circ \gamma)'(0) \geq -\,\mathfrak{c}_K(|\dot{\gamma}|)u(\gamma(0)) + u(\gamma(1))$$

Now, we pick a point $r \in [a,b]$. Then, for each $\epsilon > 0$ one can pick a geodesic $\gamma : [0,1] \to [a,b]$ such that $\gamma_{\frac{1}{2}} = r$ and $|\dot{\gamma}| = \epsilon$. If we set $\min \kappa_\gamma = K_\epsilon$, we can deduce exactly like in Lemma 2.2 in [EKS15] that

$$(u \circ \bar{\gamma})'' \leq -K_\epsilon u \circ \bar{\gamma}$$

pointwise on $[0,\epsilon]$. Since $K_\epsilon \to \kappa(r)$ for $\epsilon \to 0$ and $\mathrm{Im}(\gamma) \to r$, the result follows. $\square$

Lemma 3.22. *Let f be a smooth (κ, N)-convex function on a Riemannian manifold (M, g), and let U_N be as in Definition 3.14. Then, a smooth curve $x : [0,\infty) \to M$ is a gradient flow curve of f if and only if for each $z \in M$ and all $t > 0$ we have that*

$$-\frac{1}{2N}\frac{d}{ds}\,\mathrm{d}_M(x_s,z)^2 + \frac{d}{dt}\sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa^-_{\gamma^s}/N}(|\dot{\gamma}^s|)|_{t=1} \geq \frac{d}{dt}\sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa^+_{\gamma^s}/N}(|\dot{\gamma}^s|)|_{t=0}\frac{U_N(z)}{U_N(x_s)}.$$

where $\gamma^s : [0,1] \to M$ is the constant speed geodesic between x_s and z.

Remark 3.23. In the case of constant curvature κ the inequality becomes

$$\cos_{\kappa/N}(\mathrm{d}_M(x_s,z)) - \frac{1}{2N}\sin_{\kappa/N}(\mathrm{d}_M(x_s,z))\frac{d}{ds}\,\mathrm{d}_M(x_s,z) \geq \frac{U_N(z)}{U_N(x_s)}$$

If $\kappa > 0$, we can write

$$\mathfrak{c}_{\kappa/N}(\mathrm{d}_M(x_s,z)) + \frac{1}{2\kappa}\frac{d}{ds}\,\mathfrak{c}_{\kappa/N}(\mathrm{d}_M(x_s,z)) \geq \frac{U_N(z)}{U_N(x_s)}.$$

And similar for $\kappa < 0$. Using the transformation

$$\frac{1}{N}\,\mathfrak{s}_{\kappa/N}(x/2)^2 = \frac{1}{2\kappa}(1 - \mathfrak{c}_{\kappa/N}(x))$$

this becomes the $\mathrm{evi}_{\kappa,N}$ formula from [EKS15].

Proof. "$\Rightarrow$": Let x_t be a gradient flow curve of f. Then, by the first variation formula we can compute

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} U_N(\gamma^s_t) = -\frac{1}{N}U_N(x_t)g_M(\nabla f|_{x_t}, \dot{\gamma}_0) = -\frac{1}{2N}U_N(x_t)\frac{d}{dt}\,\mathrm{d}_M(x_t,z)^2.$$

with Lemma 3.21 the result follows immediately.

"$\Leftarrow$": Since κ is bounded from below, the backward direction follows from monotonicity of the distortion coefficients and their derivatives like in Lemma 2.4 in [EKS15]. $\square$

Evolution variational inequality in metric spaces. Let (X, d_X) be a complete and separable metric space, and let $f : X \to (-\infty, \infty]$ be a lower semi-continuous function. We repeat some definitions from differential calculus on metric spaces. The descending slop of f at x is

$$|\nabla^- f|(x) := \limsup_{y \to x} \frac{[f(x) - f(y)]_+}{\mathrm{d}_X(x, y)}.$$

A curve $x : [a, b] \to X$ is called absolutely continuous if

$$\mathrm{d}_X(x_t, x_s) \leq \int_t^s g(r) dr \quad \text{for all } t, s \in [a, b] \text{ such that } s \leq t \tag{14}$$

and some $g \in L^1([a, b])$. We say x is locally absolutely continuous if (14) holds locally in $[a, b]$. For an absolutely continuous curve x the metric speed

$$|\dot{x}|(t) := \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\mathrm{d}_X(x_{t+h}, x_t)}{|h|}$$

exists for a.e. $t \in [a, b]$ and is the minimal g in (14).

Definition 3.24. A locally absolutely continuous curve $x : [0, \infty) \to X$ with $x(0) \in X$ is a gradient flow curve of f starting in $x(0)$ if the *energy dissipation equality*

$$f(x(s)) = f(c(t)) + \frac{1}{2} \int_s^t \left(|\dot{x}|^2(r) + |\nabla^- f|(x(r)) \right) dr \text{ for all } 0 \leq s \leq t \tag{15}$$

holds.

Lemma 3.22 motivates the following definition.

Definition 3.25. Let f be as before. Let $\kappa : X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a lower semi-continuous function, $N \geq 1$ and let $x : (0, \infty) \to D(f)$ be a locally absolutely continuous curve. We say that x_s is an $EVI_{\kappa,N}$ gradient flow curve of f starting in $x_0 \in X$ if $\lim_{s \to 0} x_s = x_0$, and if for all $z \in D(f)$ there exists a constant speed geodesic $\gamma^s : [0, 1] \to X$ between x_s and z such that

$$-\frac{d}{dt} \sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa^-_{\gamma^s}/N}(|\dot{\gamma}^s|)|_{t=1} < \infty \quad \& \quad \frac{d}{dt} \sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa^+_{\gamma^s}/N}(|\dot{\gamma}^s|)|_{t=0} < \infty$$

and the *evolution variational inequality*

$$-\frac{1}{2N} \frac{d}{ds} \mathrm{d}_X(x_s, z)^2 + \frac{d}{dt} \sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa^-_{\gamma^s}/N}(|\dot{\gamma}^s|)|_{t=1} \geq \frac{d}{dt} \sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa^+_{\gamma^s}/N}(|\dot{\gamma}^s|)|_{t=0} \frac{U_N(z)}{U_N(x_s)}$$

holds for a.e. $s > 0$. If $N = \infty$, we say x_s is an $EVI_{\kappa,\infty}$ gradient flow curve of f if for all $z \in D(f)$ there exists a constant speed geodesic $\gamma^s : [0, 1] \to X$ between x_s and z such that

$$\frac{d}{ds} \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{d}_X(x_s, z)^2 + \int_0^1 \kappa(\gamma^s(t)) dt \, \mathrm{d}_X(x_s, z)^2 \leq f(x_s) - f(z)$$

holds for a.e. $s > 0$.

Remark 3.26. The definition of $EVI_{\kappa,\infty}$ for gradient flows already appears [Stu].

Lemma 3.27. *If $(x_s)_{s \in [0,\infty)}$ is an $evi_{\kappa,N}$ gradient flow curve of f, then it is also an $evi_{\kappa',N'}$ gradient flow curve for any $\kappa' \leq \kappa$ and $N' \geq N$ where κ' is a lower semi-continuous function and $N' \in [N, \infty]$.*

Proof. The case $N' < \infty$ follows directly from Lemma 3.18. If $N' = \infty$, we rewrite the $EVI_{\kappa,N}$ formula as

$$-\frac{1}{2N}\frac{d}{ds}\,\mathrm{d}_X(x_s,z)^2-\frac{d}{dt}\left[\sigma^{(1-t)}_{\kappa^-_{\gamma^s}/N}(|\dot{\gamma}^s|)+\sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa^+_{\gamma^s}/N}(|\dot{\gamma}^s|)\right]_{t=0}$$

$$\geq \frac{d}{dt}\sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa^+_{\gamma^s}/N}(|\dot{\gamma}^s|)|_{t=0}\left[\frac{U_N(z)}{U_N(x_s)}-1\right]$$

If we multiply the inequality with N, we see that the right hand side converges to $-f(z)+f(x_s)$ for $N\to\infty$. To see what happens on the left hand side we define for fixed $s\in(0,\infty)$

$$\phi(t):=\sigma^{(1-t)}_{\kappa^-_{\gamma^s}/N}(|\dot{\gamma}^s|)+\sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa^+_{\gamma^s}/N}(|\dot{\gamma}^s|)-1.$$

ϕ solves $u''(t)+\kappa(\gamma(t))/N\,\mathrm{d}_X(z,x_s)\,[\phi(t)+1]=0$ with $u(0)=u(1)=0$. Hence, we have the following respresentation

$$\phi(t)=\int_0^1 g(\tau,t)\kappa(\gamma^s(\tau))/N\,\mathrm{d}_X(z,x_s)\left[\sigma^{(1-\tau)}_{\kappa^-_{\gamma^s}/N}(|\dot{\gamma}^s|)+\sigma^{(\tau)}_{\kappa^+_{\gamma^s}/N}(|\dot{\gamma}^s|)\right]d\tau$$

and

$$\phi'(0)=\int_0^1 (1-\tau)\kappa(\gamma^s(\tau))/N\,\mathrm{d}_X(z,x_s)\left[\sigma^{(1-\tau)}_{\kappa^-_{\gamma^s}/N}(|\dot{\gamma}^s|)+\sigma^{(\tau)}_{\kappa^+_{\gamma^s}/N}(|\dot{\gamma}^s|)\right]d\tau.$$

If $N\to\infty$, we see that ϕ converges uniformily to 0. Therefore, by the previous formula of $\phi'(0)$, $N\phi'(0)$ converges to $\int_0^1(1-\tau)\kappa(\gamma^s(\tau))\,\mathrm{d}_X(z,x_s)d\tau$. □

Theorem 3.28. *Let (X,d_X) be a locally compact metric measure space, and let $f : D(f)\to\mathbb{R}$ be lower semi-continuous. Assume that for every $x_0\in\overline{D(f)}$ there exists an $EVI_{\kappa,N}$ gradient flow curve $(x_s)_{s\in(0,\infty)}$ starting in x_0. Then f is strongly (κ,N)-convex.*

Proof. First, we assume that $\kappa : X\to\mathbb{R}$ is continuous. Let $c:[0,1]\to X$ be a constant speed geodesic, and let $\bar{c}:[0,\theta]\to X$ its 1-speed reparametrization. Let $\delta>0$ be arbitrary. Since (X,d_X) is locally compact, we can find $h>0$ and points $r_i\in[0,\theta]$ for $i=1,\dots,N$ such that

$$\max\kappa|_{B_{2h}(\bar{c}(r_i))}-\min\kappa|_{B_{2h}(\bar{c}(r_i))}<\delta$$

for each $i=1,\dots,N$. Now, we pick $\hat{r}\in[0,\theta]$ and $\epsilon>0$, and consider $\bar{\gamma}=\bar{c}|_{[\hat{r}-\epsilon,\hat{r}+\epsilon]}$ such that $\hat{r}\pm\epsilon\in[r_i-h,r_i+h]$ for some $i=1,\dots,N$. Its constant speed reparametrization is $\gamma:[0,1]\to X$. Let x_s be the $EVI_{\kappa,N}$ gradient flow curve starting in $\gamma(\frac{1}{2})$. Then, we obtain

$$-\frac{1}{2N}\frac{d}{ds}\,\mathrm{d}_X(x_s,\gamma(0))^2+\frac{d}{dt}\sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa^-_{\gamma^s_0}/N}(|\dot{\gamma}^s_0|)|_{t=1}\geq\frac{d}{dt}\sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa^+_{\gamma^s_0}/N}(|\dot{\gamma}^s_0|)|_{t=0}\frac{U_N(\gamma(0))}{U_N(x_s)}$$

and

$$-\frac{1}{2N}\frac{d}{ds}\,\mathrm{d}_X(x_s,\gamma(1))^2+\frac{d}{dt}\sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa^-_{\gamma^s_1}/N}(|\dot{\gamma}^s_1|)|_{t=1}\geq\frac{d}{dt}\sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa^+_{\gamma^s_1}/N}(|\dot{\gamma}^s_1|)|_{t=0}\frac{U_N(\gamma(1))}{U_N(x_s)}$$

where $\gamma^s_i:[0,1]\to X$ $i=0,1$ are constant speed geodesics between x_s and $\gamma(i)$. Since γ is a constant speed geodesic, it follows

$$\frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{d}_X(\gamma(0),x_s)^2+\frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{d}_X(\gamma(1),x_s)^2\geq\frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{d}_X(\gamma(0),x_0)^2+\frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{d}_X(\gamma(1),x_0)^2$$

and therefore

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{ds}\Big|_{s=0}\mathrm{d}_X(\gamma(0),x_s)^2+\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{ds}\Big|_{s=0}\mathrm{d}_X(\gamma(1),x_s)^2\geq 0$$

Together with the previous observation it follows

$$\begin{aligned}U_N(x_s)&\left[\frac{d}{dt}\sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa^-_{\gamma^s_1}/N}(|\dot\gamma^s_1|)|_{t=1}+\frac{d}{dt}\sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa^-_{\gamma^s_0}/N}(|\dot\gamma^s_0|)|_{t=1}\right]\\&\geq\frac{d}{dt}\sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa^+_{\gamma^s_0}/N}(|\dot\gamma^s_0|)|_{t=0}U_N(\gamma(0))+\frac{d}{dt}\sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa^+_{\gamma^s_1}/N}(|\dot\gamma^s_1|)|_{t=0}U_N(\gamma(1))\end{aligned}$$

Now, let $s\to 0$. Since $(X,\mathrm{d}_X,\mathrm{m}_X)$ is locally compact and the length of γ^s_i $(i=0,1)$ is uniformily bounded, there exist uniformily converging subsequences of γ^s_0 and γ^s_1 w.r.t. d_∞. The limits are denoted by ς_0 and ς_1. By lower semi-continuity of the length function one can see that the composition of ς_0 and ς_1^- is again a geodesic between $\gamma(0)$ and $\gamma(1)$. Its constant speed reparametrization is denoted with $\varsigma:[0,1]\to X$ and its 1-speed reparametrization is denoted with $\bar\varsigma$. By construction we have $\varsigma(\frac{1}{2})=\gamma(\frac{1}{2})$. Note, that $|\dot\varsigma|=\frac{1}{2}|\dot\varsigma_0|=\frac{1}{2}|\dot\varsigma_1|=|\dot\gamma|=2\epsilon$ and $\mathrm{Im}\varsigma\subset B_{2h}(\bar c(r_i))$.

Recall that by Lemma 3.20 $\frac{d^+}{dt}|_0\sigma^{(t)}_\kappa$ and $\frac{d^-}{dt}|_1\sigma^{(t)}_\kappa$ are lower and upper semi-continuous respectively with respect to κ (Lemma 3.20). Hence

$$\begin{aligned}U_N(\gamma(\tfrac{1}{2}))&\left[\frac{d}{dt}\sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa^-_{\varsigma_1}/N}(|\dot\varsigma|_0)|_{t=1}+\frac{d}{dt}\sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa^-_{\varsigma_0}/N}(|\dot\varsigma_1|)|_{t=1}\right]\\&\geq\frac{d}{dt}\sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa^+_{\varsigma_0}/N}(|\dot\varsigma_0|)|_{t=0}U_N(\gamma(0))+\frac{d}{dt}\sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa^+_{\varsigma_1}/N}(|\dot\varsigma_1|)|_{t=0}U_N(\gamma(1)).\end{aligned}\tag{16}$$

Now, we use Taylor expansion of the coefficients. Recall from [Ket] that for t fixed $f:h\mapsto\sigma^{(t)}_\kappa(h)$ is twice differentiable at $h=0$ and we have

$$h\in[0,L]\mapsto\sigma^{(t)}_\kappa(h)=t\left[1+\frac{1}{6}(1-t^2)\kappa(0)h^2\right]+o(h^2)^t_\kappa.\tag{17}$$

If $\overline{\kappa}\geq\kappa\geq\underline{\kappa}$, then

$$t\frac{1}{3}(1-t^2)(\underline{\kappa}-\overline{\kappa})h^2+o(h^2)^t_{\underline{\kappa}}\leq o(h^2)^t_\kappa\leq t\frac{1}{3}(1-t^2)(\overline{\kappa}-\underline{\kappa})h^2+o(h^2)^t_{\overline{\kappa}}.$$

Therefore, if $\gamma\in\mathcal{G}(X)$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa_\gamma/N}(|\dot\gamma|)|_{t=0}\geq 1+\frac{1}{6}\kappa(\gamma(0))|\dot\gamma|^2+\frac{1}{3}(\underline{\kappa}_\gamma-\overline{\kappa}_\gamma)|\dot\gamma|^2+\frac{d}{dt}o(|\dot\gamma|^2)^t_{\underline{\kappa}_\gamma}|_{t=0}$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt}\sigma^{(1-t)}_{\kappa_\gamma/N}(|\dot\gamma|)|_{t=0}\geq -1+\frac{2}{6}\kappa(\gamma(1))|\dot\gamma|^2+\frac{1}{3}(\underline{\kappa}_\gamma-\overline{\kappa}_\gamma)|\dot\gamma|^2+\frac{d}{dt}o(|\dot\gamma|^2)^{1-t}_{\underline{\kappa}_\gamma}|_{t=0}.$$

Now, consider ς_0 and ς_1. Let $\underline{\kappa}:=\min\kappa|_{B_{2h}(c(r_i))}$ and $\overline{\kappa}:=\max\kappa|_{B_{2h}(c(r_i))}$. We plug this into (16):

$$\begin{aligned}U_N(\gamma(\tfrac{1}{2}))&\left[2-\frac{1}{3}\kappa(\gamma(0))\epsilon^2-\frac{d}{dt}o(\epsilon^2)^{1-t}_{\underline{\kappa}}|_{t=0}-\frac{1}{3}\kappa(\gamma(1))\epsilon^2-\frac{d}{dt}o(\epsilon^2)^{1-t}_{\underline{\kappa}}|_{t=0}-\frac{2}{3}(\underline{\kappa}-\overline{\kappa})\epsilon^2\right]\\&\geq\left[1-\frac{1}{6}\kappa(\gamma(\frac{1}{2}))\epsilon^2+\frac{d}{dt}o(\epsilon^2)^t_{\underline{\kappa}}|_{t=0}+\frac{1}{3}(\underline{\kappa}-\overline{\kappa})\epsilon^2\right]U_N(\gamma(0))\\&\qquad+\left[1-\frac{1}{6}\kappa(\gamma(\frac{1}{2}))\epsilon^2+\frac{d}{dt}o(\epsilon^2)^t_{\underline{\kappa}}|_{t=0}+\frac{1}{3}(\underline{\kappa}-\overline{\kappa})\epsilon^2\right]U_N(\gamma(1)).\end{aligned}$$

Rearranging the terms yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&2U_N(\gamma(\tfrac{1}{2})) - U_N(\gamma_\epsilon(0)) - U_N(\gamma_\epsilon(1))\\
&\quad\geq -U_N(\gamma(\frac{1}{2}))\epsilon^2\left[\frac{1}{3}\kappa(\gamma(0)) + \frac{1}{3}\kappa(\gamma(1))\right] - \frac{1}{6}\kappa(\gamma(\frac{1}{2}))\epsilon^2 U_N(\gamma_\epsilon(0))\\
&\quad - \frac{1}{6}\kappa(\gamma(\frac{1}{2}))\epsilon^2 U_N(\gamma_\epsilon(1)) + o(\epsilon^2) + \frac{1}{3}(\underline{\kappa} - \overline{\kappa})\epsilon^2\left[U_N(\gamma(1)) + U_N(\gamma(0)) + 2U_N(\gamma(\frac{1}{2}))\right]
\end{aligned}$$

In terms of the geodesic c this is

$$\begin{aligned}
&2U_N(\bar{c}(\hat{r})) - U_N(\bar{c}(\hat{r}-\epsilon)) - U_N(\bar{c}(\hat{r}+\epsilon))\\
&\quad\geq -U_N(\bar{c}(\hat{r}))\left[\frac{1}{3}\kappa(\bar{c}(\hat{r}-\epsilon))\epsilon^2 + \frac{1}{3}\kappa(\bar{c}(\hat{r}+\epsilon))\epsilon^2\right]\\
&\quad - \frac{1}{6}\kappa(\bar{c}(\hat{r}))\epsilon^2 U_N(\bar{c}(\hat{r}-\epsilon)) - \frac{1}{6}\kappa(\bar{c}(\hat{r}))\epsilon^2 U_N(\bar{c}(\hat{r}+\epsilon)) + o(\epsilon)\\
&+\frac{1}{3}(\underline{\kappa} - \overline{\kappa})\epsilon^2\left[U_N(\bar{c}(\hat{r}-\epsilon)) + U_N(\bar{c}(\hat{r}+\epsilon)) + 2U_N(\bar{c}(\hat{r}))\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Deviding by $\epsilon^2 > 0$, multiplication with $\phi \in C_0^\infty((0,\theta))$ such that $\phi \geq 0$, integration with respect to $\hat{r}$, a change of variables and taking the limit $\epsilon \to 0$ yields

$$\int_0^\theta U_N(\bar{c}(t))\phi''(t)dt \leq \int_0^\theta [\kappa(t) + \frac{4}{3}\delta]U_N(\bar{c}(t))\phi(t)dt. \tag{18}$$

Recall that U_N is upper semi-continuous. Since δ was arbitrary, the theorem follows from the characterization result of Proposition 3.13.

Finally, if $\kappa : X \to \mathbb{R}$ is lower semi-continuous, we choose $\kappa_n \uparrow \kappa$ pointwise for κ_n bounded and continuous. By monotonicity the assumptions are satisfied for κ_n instead of κ for each $n \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, we can apply the first part of the proof, and we obtain (18) foe κ_n. But by the theorem of monotone convergence, this differential inequality still holds for κ. □

Corollary 3.29. *If (M, g_M) is a Riemannian manifold, $f \in C^2(M)$ and $\kappa \in C(M)$, the following statements are equivalent*

- (i) *For every $x_0 \in \overline{D(f)}$ there exists an $EVI_{\kappa,N}$ gradient flow curve $(x_s)_{s\in(0,\infty)}$ starting in x_0.*
- (ii) *f is (κ, N)-convex.*

4. Reduced and entropic curvature dimension condition

In this section we introduce an entropic curvature-dimension condition for metric measure spaces and semi-continuous lower curvature bound κ. For this purpose we will apply the results of the previous section in the following context.

For $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(X)$ we define the *relative entropy* by

$$\mathrm{Ent}(\mu) := \int \rho \log \rho \, d\,\mathrm{m}_X$$

if $\mu \in \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{m}_X)$ and $(\rho \log \rho)_+$ is integrable. Otherwise, we set $\mathrm{Ent}(\mu) = \infty$. Moreover, for $N \in (0,\infty)$ we introduce the functional $U_N : \mathcal{P}_2(X) \to [0,\infty]$ by

$$U_N(\mu) := \exp\left(-\tfrac{1}{N}\,\mathrm{Ent}(\mu)\right).$$

If we assume the following volume growth condition

$$\int e^{-c\,\mathrm{d}(p,x)^2} d\,\mathrm{m}_X(x) < \infty \tag{19}$$

it is well known that Ent does not take the value $-\infty$ on $\mathcal{P}_2(X)$ and Ent is lower semi-continuous with respect to W_2.

Definition 4.1. A metric measure space $(X, \mathrm{d}_X, \mathrm{m}_X)$ satifies the *entropic curvature-dimension condition* $CD^e(\kappa, N)$ for some lower semi-continuous function κ and $N \geq 0$ if for any pair $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in D(\mathrm{Ent})$ with compact support there exists a L^2-Wasserstein geodesic Π connection μ_0 and μ_1 such that for all $t \in [0,1]$

$$U_N(\mu_t) \geq \sigma^{(1-t)}_{\kappa^-_\Pi/N}(\Theta) U_N(\mu_0) + \sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa^+_\Pi/N}(\Theta) U_N(\mu_1) \tag{20}$$

where $(e_t)_\star \Pi = \mu_t$, $\Theta = W_2(\mu_0, \mu_1)$ and

$$\kappa_\Pi(t\Theta) = \frac{1}{\Theta^2} \int \kappa(e_t(\gamma)|\dot\gamma|^2 d\Pi(\gamma).$$

Recall that κ_Π is lower semi-continuous by Remark 3.12. If (20) holds for any geodesic $\Pi \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G}(X))$ we say that $(X, \mathrm{d}_X, \mathrm{m}_X)$ is a strong $CD^e(\kappa, N)$ space. $\mu \in D(\mathrm{Ent})$ implies that $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathrm{m}_X)$.

Remark 4.2. The coefficient $\sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa_\Pi/N}(\Theta)$ solves $v''(t) + \int \kappa(e_t(\gamma))/N|\dot\gamma|^2 d\Pi(\gamma) v(t) = 0$. Therefore, we can write $\sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa_\Pi\Theta^2/N}$.

Remark 4.3. The entropic curvature-dimension condition is not (K, N)-convexity of U_N for some function K on $\mathcal{P}_2(X)$. More precisely, it is (κ_Π, N)-convexity on Wasserstein geodesics Π where κ_Π depends on the geodesic Π.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $(X, \mathrm{d}_X, \mathrm{m}_X)$ be a metric measure space, and let κ be lower semi-continuous. Then*

$$\liminf_{i\to\infty} \sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa_{\Pi_i}/N}(\Theta) \geq \sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa_\Pi/N}(\Theta) \text{ for each } t \in [0,1]$$

if Π_i converges weakly to Π.

Proof. One can easily check that for each $t \in [0,1]$ $\Pi \mapsto \int \kappa(\gamma(t))|\dot\gamma|^2 d\Pi(\gamma) = \kappa_\Pi(t\Theta)\Theta^2$ is lower semi-continuous w.r.t. weak convergence. Then, the statement follows from Lemma 3.9. □

Lemma 4.5. *Let $(X, \mathrm{d}_X, \mathrm{m}_X)$ be a metric measure space satisfying $CD^e(\kappa, N)$ for some lower semi-continuous κ and $N > 0$.*

(i) *If κ' is admissible with $\kappa' \leq \kappa$ and $N' \geq N$, then $(X, \mathrm{d}_X, \mathrm{m}_X)$ satisfies $CD^e(\kappa', N)$.*
In particular, $(X, \mathrm{d}_X, \mathrm{m}_X)$ satisfies $CD(\kappa, \infty)$ in the sense of [Stu].

(ii) *Let $V : X \to \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function that is bounded from below and that is (κ', N')-convex in sense of Definition 3.14 for some admissible κ' and $N' > 0$.*

Proof. (i) The first part is an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.8. The second part follows by monotonicity in $N > 0$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
&(1-t)\operatorname{Ent}(\mu_0) + t\operatorname{Ent}(\mu_1) - \operatorname{Ent}(\mu_t)\\
&= \lim_{N\to\infty} \left(-(1-t)N\left(U_N(\mu_0)-1\right) - tN\left(U_N(\mu_1)-1\right) + N\left(U_N(\mu_t)-1\right)\right)\\
&\le \lim_{N\to\infty} \left[\left(\sigma^{(1-t)}_{\kappa^-_\Pi/N}(\Theta) - (1-t)\right)N + \left(\sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa^+_\Pi/N}(\Theta) - t\right)N\right]\\
&\le \lim_{N\to\infty} \underbrace{N\left[\sigma^{(1-t)}_{\kappa^-_\Pi/N}(\Theta) + \sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa^+_\Pi/N}(\Theta) - 1\right]}_{=:v(t)} \qquad (21)
\end{aligned}$$

For large N the function v solves

$$v'' + \int \kappa(e_t(\gamma))|\dot\gamma|^2 d\Pi(\gamma) \le 0.$$

Therefore the RHS in (21) is smaller or equal than $\int \kappa(e_t(\gamma))|\dot\gamma|^2 d\Pi(\gamma)$.

(ii) We define $\overline{V} : \mathcal{P}_2(X) \to (-\infty,\infty]$ by $\overline{V}(\mu) = \int V d\mu$. We show that $\overline{V}$ is (κ', N')-convex. Recall that by Lemma 3.11 $g : (x,y,\kappa) \mapsto \log\left(\sigma^{(1-t)}_{\kappa^-_\gamma} e^x + \sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa^+_\gamma} e^y\right)$ is convex. Hence, if Π is a geodesic in $\mathcal{P}_2(X)$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
-\frac{1}{N'}\overline{V}(\mu_t) &= -\frac{1}{N'}\int V(e_t(\gamma))d\Pi(\gamma)\\
&\ge \int g\left(-\tfrac{1}{N'}V(e_0(\gamma)), -\tfrac{1}{N'}V(e_1(\gamma)), \kappa'_\gamma|\dot\gamma|^2/N'\right)d\Pi(\gamma)\\
&\ge g\left(-\tfrac{1}{N'}\overline{V}(\mu_0), -\tfrac{1}{N'}\overline{V}(\mu_1), \kappa'_\Pi\Theta^2\right).
\end{aligned}$$

After taking the exponential this (κ', N')-convexity of $\overline{V}$. Finally, since by lower boundedness of V we have $\mathcal{P}(e^{-V}\mathrm{m}_X) \subset \mathcal{P}(m_X)$, and since $\operatorname{Ent}_{e^{-V}\mathrm{m}_X}(\mu) = \operatorname{Ent}_{\mathrm{m}_X}(\mu) + \overline{V}(\mu)$, we obtain the result by Lemma 3.15. □

Definition 4.6 (Minkowski content)**.** Consider $x_0 \in X$ and $B_r(x_0) \subset X$. Set $v(r) = \mathrm{m}_X(\bar B_r(x_0))$. The Minkowski content of $\partial B_r(x_0)$ (the r-sphere around x_0) is defined as

$$s(r) := \limsup_{\delta\to 0} \frac{1}{\delta}\,\mathrm{m}_X(\bar B_{r+\delta}(x_0)\backslash B_r(x_0)).$$

Theorem 4.7. *Assume $(X, \mathrm{d}_X, \mathrm{m}_X)$ satisfies $CD^e(\kappa, N)$ for a lower semi-continuous function κ and $N \in [1,\infty)$. Then, (X, d_X) is a proper metric space, each bounded set has finite measure and satisfies a doubling property, and either m_X is supported by one point or all points and all sphere have mass 0.*

In particular, if $N > 1$ then for each $x_0 \in X$, for all $0 < r < R$ and $\underline{\kappa} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\kappa|_{B_R(x_0)} \ge \underline{\kappa}$ and $R \le \pi\sqrt{N/\underline{\kappa} \vee 0}$, we have

$$\frac{s(r)}{s(R)} \ge \frac{\sin^N_{\underline{\kappa}/N} r}{\sin^N_{\underline{\kappa}/N} R} \quad \& \quad \frac{\mathrm{m}_X(B_r(x_0))}{\mathrm{m}_X(B_R(x_0))} \ge \frac{\int_0^r \sin^N_{\underline{\kappa}/N} t dt}{\int_0^R \sin^N_{\underline{\kappa}/N} t dt}. \qquad (22)$$

If $N = 1$ and $\kappa \le 0$, then $\frac{s(r)}{s(R)} \ge 1$ and $\frac{\mathrm{m}_X(B_r(x_0))}{\mathrm{m}_X(B_R(x_0))} \ge \frac{r}{R}$.

Proof. Theorem follows as in the proof of Theorem 5.3 in [Ket]. □

Remark 4.8. The estimates in the previous theorem are not sharp. Though, it is enough to prove that $(X, \mathrm{d}_X, \mathrm{m}_X)$ satisfies a doubling property and has finite Hausdorff dimension bounded from above by N. See Corollary 5.4, Corollary 5.5 in [Ket] for the proofs. The entropic curvature-dimension condition $CD^e(\kappa, N)$ yields that $(\operatorname{supp} \mathrm{m}_X, \mathrm{d}_X)$ is a length space. Therefore, by local compactness and completness it is geodesic, and therefore $\mathcal{P}_2(\operatorname{supp} \mathrm{m}_X)$ is geodesic as well. Additionally, if κ is bounded from below, the volume growth estimate (22) implies that

$$\int e^{-c\,\mathrm{d}_X(p,x)^2} d\,\mathrm{m}_X(x) < \infty$$

for some point $p \in X$ and $c > 0$, and in particular, $\mathrm{Ent} > -\infty$.

Recall that a sequence of $(X_i, \mathrm{d}_{X_i}, \mathrm{m}_{X_i})_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ with $\mathrm{m}_{X_i}(X_i) < \infty$ converges in Gromov sense to a metric measure space $(X, \mathrm{d}_X, \mathrm{m}_X)$ if there exists a metric space (Z, d_Z) and isometric embeddings $\iota_i, \iota : X_i \to Z$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(\iota_i)_\star \mathrm{m}_{X_i}$ converges weakly to $(\iota)_\star \mathrm{m}_X$. This can be equivalently defined in terms of Sturm's transportation distance $\mathbb{D}$ (see [Stu06a]).

Definition 4.9. Let $(X_i, \mathrm{d}_{X_i}, \mathrm{m}_{X_i})_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be metric measure spaces converging in Gromov sense to a metric measure space $(X, \mathrm{d}_X, \mathrm{m}_X)$. Let $\kappa_i, \kappa : X_i, X \to \mathbb{R}$ be lower semi-continuous functions. We say

$$\liminf_{i\to\infty} \kappa_i \geq \kappa$$

if for each $\eta > 0$ there exists $i_\eta \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\kappa_i(x) \geq \kappa(f_i(x)) - \eta$ if $i \geq i_\eta$ for each $x \in X_i$. We say (κ_i) converges uniformily to κ if for each $\eta > 0$ there exists δ and $i_\eta \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|\kappa_i(x) - \kappa(y)| < \eta$ if $i \geq i_\eta$ and $\mathrm{d}_Z(x, y) < \delta$.

Theorem 4.10. *Let $(X_i, \mathrm{d}_{X_i}, \mathrm{m}_{X_i})_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of metric measure spaces satisfying the condition $CD^e(\kappa_i, N_i)$ respectively for lower semi-continuous functions κ_i and $N_i \in [1, \infty)$. Assume $(X_i, \mathrm{d}_{X_i}, \mathrm{m}_{X_i})$ converges to a metric measure space $(X, \mathrm{d}_X, \mathrm{m}_X)$ in Gromov sense, and consider an admissible function $\kappa : X \to \mathbb{R}$ and $N \in [1, \infty)$ such that*

$$\liminf_{i\to\infty} \kappa_i \geq \kappa \geq K \quad \& \quad \limsup_{i\to\infty} N_i \leq N \tag{23}$$

Then $(X, \mathrm{d}_X, \mathrm{m}_X)$ satisfies $CD^e(\kappa, N)$.

Proof. Since m_{X_i} and m_X are finite, the Entropy functional on $\mathcal{P}_2(Z)$ is lower semicontinuous. Then, the proof is the same as the proof of corresponding stability results in [GMS]. The only additional information one needs is that

$$\liminf_{i\to\infty} \int \kappa_i(\gamma(t))|\dot\gamma|^2 d\Pi_i(\gamma) \geq \int \kappa(\gamma(t))|\dot\gamma|^2 d\Pi(\gamma)$$

if $(\Pi_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ with $\Pi_i \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G}(X_i))$ converges weakly in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G}(Z)$ to $\Pi \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G}(X))$. Then, we can apply Lemma 3.9 to obtain the result. □

Definition 4.11 ([Ket])**.** Consider a lower semi-continuous function $\kappa : X \to \mathbb{R}$, and let $N \in \mathbb{R}$ with $N \geq 1$. $(X, \mathrm{d}_X, \mathrm{m}_X)$ satisfies the *reduced curvature-dimension condition $CD^*(\kappa, N)$* if and only if for each pair $\nu_0, \nu_1 \in \mathcal{P}_2(X, \mathrm{m}_X)$ with bounded support there exists a dynamical optimal coupling Π of $\nu_0 = \varrho_0 d\,\mathrm{m}_X$ and $\nu_1 = \varrho_1 d\,\mathrm{m}_X$ and a geodesic $(\nu_t)_{t\in[0,1]} \subset \mathcal{P}_2(X, \mathrm{m}_X)$, such that

$$S_{N'}(\nu_t) \leq -\int \left[\sigma^{(1-t)}_{\kappa^-_\gamma/N'}(|\dot\gamma|)\varrho_0\left(e_0(\gamma)\right)^{-\frac{1}{N'}} + \sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa^+_\gamma/N'}(|\dot\gamma|)\varrho_1\left(e_1(\gamma)\right)^{-\frac{1}{N'}}\right] d\Pi(\gamma) \tag{24}$$

for all $t \in [0,1]$ and all $N' \geq N$.

Definition 4.12. We say that a metric measure space $(X, \mathrm{d}_X, \mathrm{m}_X)$ is *essentially non-branching* if for any optimal dynamcial plan $\Pi \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G}(X))$ between absolutely continuous probability measures is supported on set of non-branching geodesics. More precisely, there exists $A \subset \mathcal{G}(X)$ such that $\Pi(A) = 1$ and for all $\gamma, \gamma' \in A$ we have the following property

$$\gamma(t) = \gamma'(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, \epsilon] \ \text{ for some } \epsilon > 0 \implies \gamma = \gamma'.$$

Lemma 4.13 ([EKS15])**.** *Let $(X, \mathrm{d}_X, \mathrm{m}_X)$ be an essentially non-branching metric measure space, and let Π be an optimal dynamical coupling. Assume $\Pi = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i \Pi_i$ for optimal dynamical couplings Π_i. If $(e_0)_\star \Pi_i$ are mutually singular, then the family $(e_t)_\star \Pi_i$ is mutually singular as well.*

Theorem 4.14. *Let $(X, \mathrm{d}_X, \mathrm{m}_X)$ be an essentially non-branching metric measure space, and let κ be a lower semi-continuous function and $N \geq 1$. Then the following statements are equivalent.*

(i) $(X, \mathrm{d}_X, \mathrm{m}_X)$ *satisfies* $CD^*(\kappa, N)$.

(ii) *For each pair $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathrm{m}_X)$ with bounded support there exists an optimal dynamical transference plan Π with $(e_t)_\star \Pi \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathrm{m}_X)$ such that*

$$\varrho_t(\gamma_t)^{-\frac{1}{N}} \geq \sigma^{(1-t)}_{\kappa^-_\gamma/N'}(|\dot\gamma|)\varrho_0(\gamma_0)^{-\frac{1}{N}} + \sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa^+_\gamma/N}(|\dot\gamma|)\varrho_1(\gamma_1)^{-\frac{1}{N}}. \tag{25}$$

for all $t \in [0,1]$ and Π-a.e. $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}(X)$. ϱ_t is the density of the push-forward of Π under the map $\gamma \mapsto \gamma_t$.

(iii) $(X, \mathrm{d}_X, \mathrm{m}_X)$ *satisfies* $CD^e(\kappa, N)$.

Proof. "(i) ⇔ (ii)": The same equivalence was shown in [Ket] for the curvature-dimension condition $CD(\kappa, N)$ provided $(X, \mathrm{d}_X, \mathrm{m}_X)$ is a non-branching metric measure space, and it is obvious that same proof also works for the condition $CD^*(\kappa, N)$. If we assume that $(X, \mathrm{d}_X, \mathrm{m}_X)$ is essentially non-branching, we can apply the same proof using Lemma 4.13. (Compare also with Theorem 3.12 in [EKS15])

"(ii) ⇒ (iii)": By the same argument as in [BS10, Lemma 2.11] one can show that the statement (ii) holds for all $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathrm{m}_X)$. Now, let Π be an optimal dynamical plan between μ_0 and μ_1 satisfying (25). It follows

$$-\frac{1}{N}\log \varrho_t(\gamma_t) \geq \log\left[\sigma^{(1-t)}_{\kappa^-_\gamma/N'}(|\dot\gamma|)\varrho_0(\gamma_0)^{-\frac{1}{N}} + \sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa^+_\gamma/N}(|\dot\gamma|)\varrho_1(\gamma_1)^{-\frac{1}{N}}\right]. \tag{26}$$

Again, Lemma 3.11 says that $g_t : (x, y, \kappa) \mapsto \log\left(\sigma^{(1-t)}_{\kappa^-_\gamma} e^x + \sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa^+_\gamma} e^y\right)$ is convex. Therefore, integrating (26) with respect to Π and applying Jensen's inequality yields

$$-\frac{1}{N}\operatorname{Ent}(\mu_t) \geq g_t\left(-\frac{1}{N}\operatorname{Ent}(\mu_0), -\frac{1}{N}\operatorname{Ent}(\mu_1), \int \kappa(e_t(\gamma)/N|\dot\gamma|^2 d\Pi\right).$$

Hence, statement (iii) follows by taking the exponential on both sides.

"(iii) ⇒ (ii)": We roughly follow the argument in the proof of [EKS15, Theorem 3.12]. Let $\mu_0, \mu_1 \in \mathcal{P}_2(\mathrm{m}_X)$ be with bounded support, and let Π be an optimal dynamical coupling between μ_0 and μ_1. Let $\{M_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be an $\cap$-stable generator of the Borel σ-field of (X, d_X) . For each n we define a disjoint covering of X of 2^n sets by $L_I = \bigcap_{i\in I} M_i \cap \bigcap_{i \in I^c} M_i^c$ where $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$.

We fix n, and we define $A_{i,j} = \{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}(X) : (\gamma(0),\gamma(1)) \in L_i \times L_j\}$ for $i,j = 1,\dots,2^n$, and probability measures $\mu_t^{i,j} = \Pi(A_{i,j})^{-1}(e_t)_\star \Pi|_{A_{i,j}}$ for $t = 0,1$ provided $\Pi(A_{i,j}) > 0$. By (iii) there are optimal dynamical plan $\Pi^{i,j}$ between $\mu_0^{i,j}$ and $\mu_1^{i,j}$ such that (20) holds, and $\mu_t^{i,j} = (e_t)_\star \Pi^{i,j}$ are absolutely continuous w.r.t. m_X. Then, we define an optimal dynamical coupling between μ_0 and μ_1 by

$$\Pi^n = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2^n} \Pi(A_{i,j})\Pi^{i,j}.$$

Since $\mu_t^{i,j}$ are absolutely continuous, $\mu_t^n = (e_t)_\star \Pi^n$ is absolutely continuous as well, and since the measures $\mu_0^{i,j}$ are mutually singular, $\mu_t^{i,j} = \rho_t^{i,j} d\,\mathrm{m}_X$ are mutually singular as well by Lemma 4.13. Therefore, $\rho_t^n = \sum \Pi(A_{i,j})\rho_t^{i,j}$ with $\rho_t^n|_{A_{i,j}} = \Pi(A_{i,j})\rho_t^{i,j}$. Hence, (20) becomes after taking logarithms (set $\frac{\Pi(A_{i,j})^{-1}}{N} = \alpha_{i,j}$)

$$\begin{aligned} &- \alpha_{i,j} \int_{A_{i,j}} \log \rho_t^n((e_t)\gamma) d\Pi^n(\gamma) \\ &\geq g_t \left[-\alpha_{i,j}^{-1} \int_{A_{i,j}} \log \rho_0(e_0(\gamma)) d\Pi^n(\gamma), \dots, -\alpha_{i,j}^{-1} \int_{A_{i,j}} \kappa((e_t)\gamma)|\dot\gamma|^2 d\Pi^n(\gamma) \right] \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Since μ_0, μ_1 have bounded support, all measure under consideration are supported by a common compact subsets in X and $\mathcal{G}(X)$ respectively independent of n. Therefore, up to extraction of subsequences Prohorov's yields that Π^n converges weakly to a dynamical coupling $\tilde\Pi \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G}(X))$ that is optimal by lower semi-continuity of the Wasserstein distance under weak convergence.

Now, note that the relative Entropy $\mathrm{Ent}_{\mathrm{m}_X|e_t(A_{i,j})}$ is lower semi-continuous. First, this implies that we can pass to the limit in the LHS of (27) for $n \to \infty$. Second, by Lemma 4.4

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \sigma^{(t)}_{\tilde\kappa_n} \geq \sigma^{(t)}_{\tilde\kappa}$$

where $\tilde\kappa(t) := \int_{A_{i,j}} \kappa((e_t)\gamma)|\dot\gamma|^2 d\Pi(\gamma)$. Hence, (27) also holds if we replace Π^n by Π. Finally, by convexity of g_t and Jensen's inequality (27) also holds when we replace $A_{i,j}$ by A where A is a disjoint union of sets $A_{i,j}$ for $i,j \in \{1,\dots,2^n\}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, (27) holds for any set in the $\cap$-stable generatore, and consequently the inequality holds for Π-a.e. γ. □

5. Riemannian curvature-dimension condition

Let $(X, \mathrm{d}_X, \mathrm{m}_X)$ be a metric measure space. We will briefly repeat some concepts for calculus on metric measure spaces. For any function $u : X \to \mathbb{R}$ in $L^2(\mathrm{m}_X)$ the Cheeger energy $\mathrm{Ch}^X(u)$ can be defined by

$$\mathrm{Ch}^X(u) = \frac{1}{2} \inf \left\{ \liminf_{h\to\infty} \int_X (\mathrm{Lip}\, u_h)^2 \, d\,\mathrm{m}_X : \|u_h - u\|_{L^2(\mathrm{m}_X)} \to 0 \right\}.$$

The L^2-Sobolev space is given by $D(\mathrm{Ch}^X) = \left\{ u \in L^2(\mathrm{m}_X) : \mathrm{Ch}^X(u) < \infty \right\}$. An important fact is that Ch is not a quadratic form in general.

Definition 5.1. We say that a metric measure space $(X, \mathrm{d}_X, \mathrm{m}_X)$ is *infinetimally Hilbertian* if the associated Cheeger enegery is quadratic.

Definition 5.2. Let $(X, \mathrm{d}_X, \mathrm{m}_X)$ be a metric measure space, and let $\kappa : X \to \mathbb{R}$ be lower semi-continuous and bounded from below. We say that $(X, \mathrm{d}_X, \mathrm{m}_X)$ satisfies the Riemannian curvature-dimension condition $RCD^*(\kappa, N)$ for $N \geq 1$ if $(X, \mathrm{d}_X, \mathrm{m}_X)$ is infinitesimally Hilbertian and satisfies the condition $CD^e(\kappa, N)$.

In [AGS13] the authors show that Ch^X can be represented by

$$\mathrm{Ch}^X(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int_X |\nabla u|_w^2 d\,\mathrm{m}_X \quad \text{if } u \in D(\mathrm{Ch}) \tag{28}$$

and $+\infty$ otherwise where $|\nabla u|_w : X \to [0,\infty]$ is Borel measurable and called the minimal weak upper gradient of u.

In particular, Ch^X is convex and lower semi-continuous. This allows to define a Laplacian L^X on $L^2(\mathrm{m}_X)$ as the L^2-norm subdifferential of Ch^X. L^X is not a linear operator in general. Still, the classical theory of gradient flows of convex functionals in Hilbert spaces yields that for any $f \in L^2(\mathrm{m}_X)$ there is a unique, locally absolutely continuous flow curve $(f_t)_{t>0}$ starting at f such that

$$\frac{d^+}{dt} f_t = L f_t \quad \text{for all } t > 0.$$

On the other hand, one can study the metric gradient flow of the relative entropy Ent in $\mathcal{P}_2(X)$ in the sense of the energy dissipation inequality (15). In [AGS14a] the authors prove that for any $\mu \in D(\mathrm{Ent})$ there exists a unique gradient flow curve in this sense provided the metric measure space satisfies a curvature bound condition in the sense of Lott, Sturm and Villani. This also gives a semi-group $\mathcal{H}_t$ on $\mathcal{P}_2(X)$. Then, the main result in [AGS14a] is the following indentification between the two gradiend flows.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $(X, \mathrm{d}_X, \mathrm{m}_X)$ be a $CD(K,\infty)$ space and let $f \in L^2(\mathrm{m}_X)$ such that $d\mu = f d\,\mathrm{m}_X \in \mathcal{P}_2(X)$. Then $d\mathcal{H}_t\mu = (P_t f) d\,\mathrm{m}_X$.*

Definition 5.4. We say that a metric measure space $(X, \mathrm{d}_X, \mathrm{m}_X)$ satisfies the *evolution-variational inequality* - $EVI_{\kappa,N}$ - for some lower semi-continuous function $\kappa : X \to \mathbb{R}$ and $N \geq 1$ if for every $\overline{\mu} \in \mathcal{P}_2(X)$ there exists a curve $(\mu^s)_{s\in(0,\infty)}$ in $D(\mathrm{Ent})$ with $\lim_{s\to 0} \mu^s = \overline{\mu}$, and for each $s > 0$ and each $\nu \in \mathcal{P}_2(X)$ there exists a geodesic $\Pi^s \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G}(X))$ between μ^s and ν such that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=1} \sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa_{\Pi^s}/N}(\Theta^s) > -\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa_{\Pi^s}/N}(\Theta^s) < \infty$$

for each $s > 0$ and

$$-\frac{1}{N}\frac{d}{ds}\frac{1}{2}W_2(\mu^s,\nu)^2 + \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=1} \sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa_{\Pi^s}/N}(\Theta^s) \geq \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa_{\Pi^s}/N}(\Theta^s) \frac{U_N(\nu)}{U_N(\mu^s)}.$$

where $\Theta^s = W_2(\mu^s, \nu)$. We also say that μ^s is a *L^2-Wasserstein $EVI_{\kappa,N}$ gradient flow curve.*

Remark 5.5. In [Stu] Sturm makes the following definition. We say that a metric measure space $(X, \mathrm{d}_X, \mathrm{m}_X)$ satisfies $EVI_{\kappa,\infty}$ if for every $\overline{\mu} \in \mathcal{P}_2(X)$ there exists a curve $(\mu^s)_{s\in(0,\infty)}$ in $D(\mathrm{Ent})$ and $\Pi^s \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G}(X))$ between μ^s and ν as in Definition 5.4 such that

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{ds}W_2(\mu^s,\nu)^2 + \left[\int_0^1 (1-t)\kappa_{\Pi^s}(t\Theta^s)dt\right] W_2(\mu^s,\nu)^2 \leq \mathrm{Ent}(\mu^s) - \mathrm{Ent}(\nu) \tag{29}$$

holds for a.e. $t > 0$ where $\Theta^s := W_2(\mu^s, \nu)$. μ^s is a L^2-Wasserstein $EVI_{\kappa,\infty}$ gradient flow curve.

Remark 5.6. The implications of Lemma 3.27 hold as well on the level of Wasserstein gradient flows. In particular $EVI_{\kappa,N}$ implies $EVI_{\kappa,\infty}$.

Theorem 5.7. *Let* $(X, \mathrm{d}_X, \mathrm{m}_X)$ *be a metric measure space with* $\operatorname{supp} \mathrm{m}_X = X$*, and let* κ *be a lower semi-continuous function with* $\kappa \geq K \in \mathbb{R}$ *and* $N \geq 1$*. Then the following tree statements are equivalent:*

(i) $(X, \mathrm{d}_X, \mathrm{m}_X)$ *is infinitesimally Hilbertian and satisfies* $CD^*(\kappa, N)$.

(ii) $(X, \mathrm{d}_X, \mathrm{m}_X)$ *is infinitesimally Hilbertian and satisfies* $CD^e(\kappa, N)$.

(iii) $(X, \mathrm{d}_X, \mathrm{m}_X)$ *is a length space that satisfies the volume growth condition (19) and* $EVI_{\kappa,N}$.

Proof. "(i) $\Leftrightarrow$ (ii)": Both conditions - $CD^*(\kappa, N)$ and $CD^e(\kappa, N)$ - imply a condition $CD(K, \infty)$ (see also [Ket]). Therefore, from [AGS14b] follows that $(X, \mathrm{d}_X, \mathrm{m}_X)$ satisfies EVI_K. Hence, $(X, \mathrm{d}_X, \mathrm{m}_X)$ is essentially non-branching by [RS14], and Theorem 4.14 yields the equivalence of $CD^*(\kappa, N)$ and $CD^e(\kappa, N)$.

"(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii)": By Remark (4.8), (X, d_X) is a geodesic space that satisfies the volume growth condition (19). Therefore, since $CD^e(\kappa, N)$ implies $CD(K, \infty)$ the main result of [AGS14b] yields the existence of EVI_K-gradient flow curves. Additionally, in [AGMR15] the authors prove that for "good" geodesics μ_t in $\mathcal{P}_2(X)$, one has

$$\frac{d^+}{ds}\frac{1}{2}W_2(\mu_0^s, \mu_1)^2 \leq \frac{d}{dt}\operatorname{Ent}(\mu_t)\Big|_{t=0}.$$

But $(X, \mathrm{d}_X, \mathrm{m}_X)$ already satisfies $CD(K, \infty)$ and has a quadratic Cheeger energy. Hence, it satisfies the condition $RCD(K, \infty)$ in the sense of [AGS14b], Wasserstein geodesics in $\mathcal{P}_2(\mathrm{m}_X)$ are unique, and therefore are good geodesic in the sense of [AGMR15]. Then, we can copy the proof of Lemma 3.22.

"(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii)": Since κ is bounded from below and by monotonicity of

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}\sigma_\kappa^{(t)}(\theta) \ \& \ \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=1}\sigma_\kappa^{(t)}(\theta)$$

$(X, \mathrm{d}_X, \mathrm{m}_X)$ already satisfies EVI_K, and consequently it is infinitesimally Hilbertian by [AGS14a].

We will prove the entropic curvature-dimension condition $CD^e(\kappa, N)$ following the proof of Theorem 3.28. But, recall that the entropic curvature dimension condition for variable κ is not just (κ, N)-convexity of the entropy.

First, assume κ is contiuous on X. Pick a L^2-Wasserstein geodesic Π in $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{G}(X))$ and let $(e_t)_\star\Pi = \mu_t$. Let $\bar{\mu} : [0, \Theta] \to X$ its 1-speed reparametrization. Note that $\kappa_\Pi(t\Theta)\Theta^2 =: K_\Pi(t)$ is just lower semi-continuous. Therefore we replace it by functions $K_{\Pi,n} : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$ that are continuous monotone non-decreasing and converge pointwise to K_Π. Let $\delta > 0$ be arbitrary. Since $K_{\Pi,n}$ is continuous, we have that $K_{\Pi,n}(\cdot/\Theta)$ is uniformily continous on $[0, \Theta]$. Hence, we can find $h > 0$ and points $r_i \in [0, \Theta]$ for $i = 1, \ldots, N$ such that

$$\max K_\Pi|_{B_{2h}(r_i)} - \min K_\Pi|_{B_{2h}(r_i)} < \delta$$

for each $i = 1, \ldots, N$. Now, we pick $\hat{r} \in [0, \theta]$ and $\epsilon > 0$, and consider $\bar{\gamma} = \bar{\mu}|_{[\hat{r}-\epsilon, \hat{r}+\epsilon]}$ such that $\hat{r} \pm \epsilon \in [r_i - h, r_i + h]$ for some $i = 1, \ldots, N$. Its constant speed reparametrization is $\gamma : [0,1] \to \mathcal{P}_2(X)$. Let ν^s be the $EVI_{\kappa,N}$ gradient flow curve starting in $\mu_{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then, we obtain

$$-\frac{1}{2N}\frac{d}{ds}W_2(\mu^s, (e_0)_\star\Pi)^2 + \frac{d}{dt}\sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa^-_{\tilde{\Pi}^s_0}/N}(\tilde{\Theta}^s_0)|_{t=1} \geq \frac{d}{dt}\sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa^+_{\tilde{\Pi}^s_0}/N}(\tilde{\Theta}^s_0)|_{t=0}\frac{U_N(\gamma(0))}{U_N(\mu^s)}$$

where $\tilde{\Pi}^s_0$ and $\tilde{\Pi}^s_1$ are geodesics between $(e_0)_\star\Pi$ and ν^s, and $(e_1)_\star\Pi$ and ν^s respectively, and $\tilde{\Theta}^s_0 = W_2((e_0)_\star\Pi, \nu^s)$ and $\tilde{\Theta}^s_1 = W_2((e_1)_\star\Pi, \nu^s)$. Local compactness of X yields weak convergence of $\tilde{\Pi}^s_{0/1}$ for $s \to 0$.

By lower semi-continuity of the L^2-Wasserstein distance the limits $\tilde{\Pi}_0$ and $\tilde{\Pi}_1$ are geodesic between $(e_0)_\star\Pi$ and $(e_{\hat{r}})_\star\Pi$, and $(e_1)_\star\Pi$ and $(e_{\hat{r}})_\star\Pi$ respectively. Additionally, the lower semi-continuity yields that the concatenation of the geodesics $\tilde{\Pi}_0$ and $\tilde{\Pi}^-_1$ as absolutely continuous curves in $\mathcal{P}_2(X)$ w.r.t. W_2 is a geodesic as well. But we know that X already satisfies a condition $RCD^*(K, \infty)$ for some K. Hence, L^2-Wasserstein geodesics between absolutely continuous probability measures are unique, and therefore we have $\Pi = \tilde{\Pi}$.

As in the proof of Theorem 3.28 we obtain a weak differential inequality for U_N along Π, $K_{\Pi,n}$ and δ. By standard convergence results and monotonicity properties the statement follows as in the proof of Theorem 3.28. □

Theorem 5.8. *Let* $(X_i, \mathrm{d}_{X_i}, \mathrm{m}_{X_i})_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ *be a sequence of metric measure spaces with* $\mathrm{m}_{X_i} < \infty$ *converging in Gromov sense to a metric measure space* $(X, \mathrm{d}_X, \mathrm{m}_X)$. *Let* $\kappa_i : X_i \to \mathbb{R}$ *be lower semi-continuous functions such that* $(X_i, \mathrm{d}_{X_i}, \mathrm{m}_{X_i})$ *satisfies the condition* $RCD^*(\kappa_i, N_i)$. *Additionally, consider an admissible function* $\kappa : X \to \mathbb{R}$ *and* $N \in [1, \infty)$ *such that*

$$\liminf_{i\to\infty} \kappa_i \geq \kappa \geq K \in \mathbb{R} \quad \& \quad \limsup_{i\to\infty} N_i \leq N \tag{30}$$

Then $(X, \mathrm{d}_X, \mathrm{m}_X)$ *satisfies the condition* $RCD^*(\kappa, N)$.

Proof. Since κ_i and κ are bounded from below by a constant K, $(X, \mathrm{d}_X, \mathrm{m}_X)$ already satisfies the condition $RCD^*(K, N)$. Then, by combination of Theorem 4.10 and Theorem 5.7 the result follows. □

Corollary 5.9. *Let* $(M_i, g_{M_i})_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ *be a family of compact Riemannian manifolds such that* $\mathrm{ric}_{M_i} \geq \kappa_i$ & $\dim_{M_i} \leq N$ *where* $\kappa_i : M_i \to \mathbb{R}$ *is a family of equicontinuous functions such that* $\kappa_i \geq -C$ *for some* $C > 0$. *There exists subsequence of* $(M_i, \mathrm{d}_{M_i}, \mathrm{vol}_{M_i})$ *that converges in measured Gromov-Hausdorff sense to a metric measure space* $(X, \mathrm{d}_X, \mathrm{m}_X)$, *and there exists a subsequence of* κ_i *such that* $\lim \kappa_i = \kappa$. *Then* X *satisfies the condition* $RCD^*(\kappa, N)$.

Proof. Since there is uniform lower bound for the Ricci curvature, Gromov's compactness theorem yields a converging subsequence. Then, Gromov's Arzela-Ascoli theorem also yields a uniformly converging subsequence of κ_i with limit κ. Finally, if we apply the previous stability theorem, we obtain the result. □

6. Wasserstein contraction

From $EVI_{\kappa,\infty}$ one can deduce easily a Wasserstein contraction estimate.

Theorem 6.1. *Let* $(X, \mathrm{d}_X, \mathrm{m}_X)$ *be a metric measure spaces satisfying* $EVI_{\kappa,\mathbb{N}}$ *where* $\kappa : X \to \mathbb{R}$ *is lower semi-continuous. Consider Wasserstein* $EVI_{\kappa,N}$*-gradient flow curves* μ^s *and* ν^s *with initial measures* μ *and* ν*. Let* Π^s *be the* L^2*-Wasserstein geodesic between* μ^s *and* ν^s*. Then the following contraction estimate holds*

$$\frac{d^+}{ds} W_2(\mu_s, \nu_s)^2 \leq -2 \int_0^1 \int \kappa(\gamma(t)) |\dot{\gamma}|^2 d\Pi(\gamma)^s dt.$$

Proof. Note that by lower semi-conitnuity of κ $(X, \mathrm{d}_X, \mathrm{m}_X)$ satisfies a condition $RCD^*(K, N)$ for some constant K. In particular, Wasserstein geodesics between measures in $\mathcal{P}^2(\mathrm{m}_X)$ are unique. Consider $s_0, s_1 \in [0, \infty)$. In (29) we set $\mu^s = \mu^s$ and $\nu = \nu^{s_1}$. Integration from s_0 to s_1 in s yields

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2} W_2(\mu_{s_1}, \nu_{s_1})^2 - \frac{1}{2} W_2(\mu_{s_0}, \nu_{s_1})^2 + \int_{s_0}^{s_1} \left[\int_0^1 (1-t) \kappa_{\Pi^s}(t\Theta^s) dt\right] W_2(\mu^s, \mu^{s_1})^2 ds \\ \leq \left[\mathrm{Ent}(\mu_{s_0}) - \mathrm{Ent}(\nu_{s_1})\right](s_1 - s_0)\end{aligned}$$

where Π^s is the optimal dynamical plan between μ^s and ν. We used that Ent is monotone decreasing along gradient flow curves. Similar, if we put $\nu = \mu^{s_0}$ and $\nu^s = \mu^s$ and integrate again from s_0 to s_1, then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2} W_2(\mu_{s_0}, \nu_{s_1})^2 - \frac{1}{2} W_2(\mu_{s_0}, \nu_{s_0})^2 + \int_{s_0}^{s_1} \left[\int_0^1 (1-t) \kappa_{\underline{\Pi}^s}(t\Theta^s) dt\right] W_2(\mu^{s_0}, \nu^s)^2 ds \\ \leq (\mathrm{Ent}(\nu_{s_0}) - \mathrm{Ent}(\mu_{s_0}))(s_1 - s_0)\end{aligned}$$

where $\underline{\Pi}^s$ is the optimal dynamical plan between ν^s and μ^{s_0}. Adding the last two inequalities, deviding by $s_1 - s_0$ and letting $s_1 \to s_0$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d^+}{ds}|_{s_0} \frac{1}{2} W_2(\mu_s, \nu_s)^2 \\ &\leq \left[-\int_0^1 (1-t) \kappa_{\Pi^{s_0}}(t\Theta^{s_0}) dt - \int_0^1 (1-t) \kappa_{\underline{\Pi}^{s_0}}(t\Theta^{s_0}) dt\right] W_2(\mu_{s_0}, \nu_{s_0})^2.\end{aligned}$$

Since there is a unique optimal dynamical plan between ν^{s_0} and μ^{s_0}, we have that $\Pi^{s_0} = \underline{\Pi}^{s_0,-}$. Therefore

$$\int_0^1 (1-t) \kappa_{\underline{\Pi}^{s_0}}(t\Theta^{s_0}) dt = \int_0^1 (1-t) \kappa_{\Pi^{s_0}}((1-t)\Theta^{s_0}) dt = \int_0^1 t \kappa_{\Pi^{s_0}}(t\Theta^{s_0}) dt$$

Hence

$$\frac{d^+}{ds} \frac{1}{2} W_2(\mu_s, \nu_s)^2 \leq \left[-\int_0^1 \kappa_{\Pi^s}(t\Theta^s) dt\right] W_2(\mu_s, \nu_s)^2 = -\int_0^1 \int \kappa(\gamma(t)) |\dot{\gamma}|^2 d\Pi(\gamma)^s dt.$$

□

Remark 6.2. Following the same lines as in the proof of previous theorem one obtains the following. If (X, d_X) is a locally compact complete length space with unique geodesics and a κ-convex function $f : X \to [0, \infty)$, we can deduce

$$\frac{d^+}{ds} \mathrm{d}_X(x_s, y_s)^2 \leq -2 \int_0^1 \kappa(\gamma^s(t)) dt \, \mathrm{d}_X(x_0, y_0)^2$$

where x_s and y_s are EVI_κ-gradient flow curves of f. Then, an application of Gromwall's lemma yields

$$\mathrm{d}_X(x_s, y_s)^2 \leq e^{-2\int_0^s \int_0^1 \kappa(\gamma^\tau(t))dtd\tau}\, \mathrm{d}_X(x_0, y_0)^2.$$

The case $N < \infty$. First, we deduce a contraction estimate for $EVI_{\kappa,N}$-gradient flow curves x_s and y_s for f on a metric space (X, d_X) as in the previous remark. Consider

$$-\frac{1}{2N}\frac{d}{ds}\mathrm{d}_X(x_s,z)^2 + \frac{d}{dt}\sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa^-_{\gamma^s}/N}(|\dot\gamma^s|)|_{t=1} \geq \frac{d}{dt}\sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa^+_{\gamma^s}/N}(|\dot\gamma^s|)|_{t=0}\frac{U_N(z)}{U_N(x_s)}$$

and rewrite as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2N}\frac{d}{ds}\mathrm{d}_X(x_s,z)^2 + \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} &\underbrace{\left[\sigma^{(1-t)}_{\kappa^-_{\gamma^s}/N}(|\dot\gamma^s|) + \sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa^+_{\gamma^s}/N}(|\dot\gamma^s|)\right]}_{=:w} \\ &\leq \frac{d}{dt}\sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa^+_{\gamma^s}/N}(|\dot\gamma^s|)|_{t=0}\left[1 - \frac{U_N(z)}{U_N(x_s)}\right] \qquad (31)\end{aligned}$$

w solves $w'' + |\dot\gamma^s|\frac{\kappa\circ\gamma}{N}w = 0$ with $w(0) = w(1) = 1$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\left[\sigma^{(1-t)}_{\kappa^-_{\gamma^s}/N}(|\dot\gamma^s|) + \sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa^+_{\gamma^s}/N}(|\dot\gamma^s|)\right]_{t=0} \\ &\qquad = \underbrace{\int_0^1 (1-\tau)\frac{\kappa(\gamma(\tau))}{N}\left[\sigma^{(1-\tau)}_{\kappa^-_{\gamma^s}/N}(|\dot\gamma^s|) + \sigma^{(\tau)}_{\kappa^+_{\gamma^s}/N}(|\dot\gamma^s|)\right]d\tau\,|\dot\gamma|^2}_{=a_{\gamma^s}}\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we can rewrite the left hand side of (31) as follows

$$\frac{1}{2N}e^{-2N\int_0^s a_{\gamma^s}ds}\frac{d}{ds}\left[e^{\int_0^s 2Na_{\gamma^s}ds}\,\mathrm{d}_X(x_s,z)^2\right].$$

Then (31) becomes

$$\frac{1}{2N}\frac{d}{ds}e^{2N\int_0^s a_{\gamma^s}ds}\,\mathrm{d}_X(x_s,z)^2 \leq e^{2N\int_0^s a_{\gamma^s}ds}\frac{|\dot\gamma^s|}{\mathfrak{s}_{\kappa^+_{\gamma^s}/N}(|\dot\gamma|)}\left[1 - \frac{U_N(z)}{U_N(x_s)}\right]$$

and integration with respect to s from s_1 to s_2 yields

$$\frac{1}{2N}e^{2N\int_{s_1}^{s_2} a_{\gamma^s}ds}\,\mathrm{d}_X(x_{s_2},z)^2 - \frac{1}{2N}\mathrm{d}_X(x_{s_1},z)^2 \leq \int_{s_1}^{s_2} e^{2N\int_{s_1}^s a_{\gamma^s}ds}\frac{|\dot\gamma^s|}{\mathfrak{s}_{\kappa^+_{\gamma^s}/N}(|\dot\gamma|)}\left[1 - \frac{U_N(z)}{U_N(x_s)}\right]ds.$$

Since $s \mapsto \frac{|\dot\gamma^s|}{\mathfrak{s}_{\kappa^+_{\gamma^s}/N}(|\dot\gamma|)}$ is continuous, and since U_N is increasing w.r.t. x_s, the right hand side can be estimated by

$$\leq \underbrace{\max_{s\in[s_1,s_2]}\frac{|\dot\gamma^s|}{\mathfrak{s}_{\kappa^+_{\gamma^s}/N}(|\dot\gamma|)}}_{M_\gamma(s_1,s_2)}\int_{s_1}^{s_2} e^{2N\int_{s_1}^s a_{\gamma^s}ds}ds - \underbrace{\min_{s\in[s_1,s_2]}\frac{|\dot\gamma^s|}{\mathfrak{s}_{\kappa^+_{\gamma^s}/N}(|\dot\gamma|)}}_{m_\gamma(s_1,s_2)}\int_{s_1}^{s_2} e^{2N\int_{s_1}^s a_{\gamma^s}ds}\frac{U_N(z)}{U_N(x_{s_2})}ds.$$

It follows

$$\begin{aligned}&m_\gamma(s_1,s_2)\frac{U_N(z)}{U_N(x_{s_2})} \leq M_\gamma(s_1,s_2) \\ &\quad - \left[2N\int_{s_1}^{s_2} e^{2N\int_{s_2}^s a_{\gamma^s}ds}\right]^{-1}\mathrm{d}_X(x_{s_2},z)^2 + \left[2N\int_{s_1}^{s_2} e^{2N\int_{s_1}^s a_{\gamma^s}ds}\right]^{-1}\mathrm{d}_X(x_{s_1},z)^2\end{aligned}$$

Consider gradient flow curves x_s and y_s and choose $\lambda, r > 0$. We apply the previous inequality for $z = y_{\lambda^{-1}r}$ and $s_1 = \lambda r$ and $s_2 = \lambda(r+\epsilon)$ for some $\epsilon > 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&m_{\gamma}(\lambda r, \lambda(r+\epsilon))\frac{U_N(y_{\lambda^{-1}r})}{U_N(x_{\lambda(r+\epsilon)})} \leq M_{\gamma}(\lambda r, \lambda(r+\epsilon))\\
&-\left[2N\int_{\lambda r}^{\lambda(r+\epsilon)} e^{-2N\int_s^{\lambda(r+\epsilon)} a_{\gamma^s}\,ds}\right]^{-1} \mathrm{d}_X(x_{\lambda(r+\epsilon)}, y_{\lambda^{-1}r})^2\\
&+\left[2N\int_{\lambda r}^{\lambda(r+\epsilon)} e^{2N\int_{\lambda r}^{s} a_{\gamma^s}\,ds}\right]^{-1} \mathrm{d}_X(x_{\lambda r}, y_{\lambda^{-1}r})^2
\end{aligned}$$

And similar if we switch the roles of x_s and y_s, and if we set $z = x_{\lambda(r+\epsilon)}$ and $s_1 = \lambda^{-1}r, s_2 = \lambda^{-1}(r+\epsilon)$.

$$\begin{aligned}
&m_{\tilde{\gamma}}(\lambda^{-1}r, \lambda^{-1}(r+\epsilon))\frac{U_N(x_{\lambda(r+\epsilon)})}{U_N(y_{\lambda^{-1}(r+\epsilon)})} \leq M_{\tilde{\gamma}}(\lambda^{-1}r, \lambda^{-1}(r+\epsilon))\\
&-\left[2N\int_{\lambda^{-1}r}^{\lambda^{-1}(r+\epsilon)} e^{-2N\int_s^{\lambda^{-1}(r+\epsilon)} a_{\tilde{\gamma}^s}\,ds}\right]^{-1} \mathrm{d}_X(y_{\lambda^{-1}(r+\epsilon)}, x_{\lambda(r+\epsilon)})^2\\
&+\left[2N\int_{\lambda^{-1}r}^{\lambda^{-1}(r+\epsilon)} e^{2N\int_{\lambda^{-1}r}^{s} a_{\tilde{\gamma}^s}\,ds}\right]^{-1} \mathrm{d}_X(y_{\lambda^{-1}r}, x_{\lambda(r+\epsilon)})^2
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\gamma}^s$ is the geodesic between y^s and z. We set

$$\int_{\lambda r}^{\lambda(r+\epsilon)} e^{-2N\int_s^{\lambda(r+\epsilon)} a_{\gamma^s}\,ds} =: e(\lambda, \epsilon, -a_{\gamma^s}), \int_{\lambda r}^{\lambda(r+\epsilon)} e^{2N\int_{\lambda r}^{s} a_{\gamma^s}\,ds} =: e(\lambda, \epsilon, a_{\gamma^s}).$$

If we multiply the resulting two formulas, take sqareroots and use Young's inequality $2\sqrt{ab} \leq \lambda a + \lambda^{-1}b$ for λ as before, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&2N2\sqrt{m_{\gamma}(\lambda r, \lambda(r+\epsilon))\frac{U_N(y_{\lambda^{-1}r})}{U_N(y_{\lambda-1(r+\epsilon)})}m_{\tilde{\gamma}}(\lambda^{-1}r, \lambda^{-1}(r+\epsilon))}\\
&\leq 2N\left[M_{\gamma}(\lambda r, \lambda(r+\epsilon))\lambda^{-1} + M_{\tilde{\gamma}}(\lambda^{-1}r, \lambda^{-1}(r+\epsilon))\lambda\right]\\
&\quad + \mathrm{d}_X(y_{\lambda^{-1}r}, x_{\lambda(r+\epsilon)})^2\left[\frac{\lambda^{-1}}{e(\lambda^{-1}, \epsilon, a_{\tilde{\gamma}^s})} - \frac{\lambda}{e(\lambda, \epsilon, -a_{\gamma^s})}\right]\\
&\quad + \mathrm{d}_X(x_{\lambda r}, y_{\lambda^{-1}r})^2\left[\frac{\lambda}{e(\lambda, \epsilon, a_{\gamma^s})} - \frac{\lambda^{-1}}{e(\lambda^{-1}, \epsilon, -a_{\tilde{\gamma}^s})}\right]\\
&\quad - \frac{\lambda^{-1}\epsilon}{e(\lambda^{-1}, \epsilon, -a_{\tilde{\gamma}^s})}\frac{1}{\epsilon}\left[\mathrm{d}_X(y_{\lambda^{-1}(r+\epsilon)}, x_{\lambda(r+\epsilon)})^2 - \mathrm{d}_X(y_{\lambda^{-1}r}, x_{\lambda r})^2\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Now, let $\epsilon \to 0$. First. note that

$$\frac{\lambda^{-1}\epsilon}{e(\lambda^{-1}, \epsilon, -a_{\tilde{\gamma}^s})} \to 1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\lambda^{-1}}{e(\lambda^{-1}, \epsilon, a_{\tilde{\gamma}^s})} - \frac{\lambda}{e(\lambda, \epsilon, -a_{\gamma^s})} &\to -N(\lambda^{-1}a_{\tilde{\gamma}^{\lambda^{-1}r}} + \lambda a_{\gamma^{\lambda r}})\\
\frac{\lambda}{e(\lambda, \epsilon, a_{\gamma^s})} - \frac{\lambda^{-1}}{e(\lambda^{-1}, \epsilon, -a_{\tilde{\gamma}^s})} &\to -N(\lambda^{-1}a_{\tilde{\gamma}^{\lambda^{-1}r}} + \lambda a_{\gamma^{\lambda r}})
\end{aligned}$$

Also note, that

$$m_\gamma(\lambda r, \lambda(r+\epsilon)), \quad M_\gamma(\lambda r, \lambda(r+\epsilon)) \to \frac{|\dot{\gamma}^{\lambda r}|}{\mathfrak{s}_{\kappa_{\gamma^{\lambda r}}/N}(|\dot{\gamma}^{\lambda r}|)}$$

$\gamma^{\lambda r}$ is the unique geodesic between $x_{\lambda r}$ and $y_{\lambda^{-1} r}$. Therefore $\gamma^{\lambda r} = (\tilde{\gamma}^{\lambda^{-1} r})^-$. And since

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\tilde{\gamma}^{\lambda^{-1} r}} &= \int_0^1 (1-\tau) \frac{\kappa(\tilde{\gamma}(\tau))}{N} \left[\sigma^{(1-\tau)}_{\kappa^-_{\tilde{\gamma}^{\lambda^{-1} r}}/N}(|\dot{\tilde{\gamma}}^{\lambda^{-1} r}|) + \sigma^{(\tau)}_{\kappa^+_{\tilde{\gamma}^{\lambda^{-1} r}}/N}(|\dot{\tilde{\gamma}}^{\lambda^{-1} r}|) \right] d\tau \\ &= \int_0^1 \tau \frac{\kappa(\gamma(\tau))}{N} \left[\sigma^{(1-\tau)}_{\kappa^-_{\gamma^{\lambda^{-1} r}}/N}(|\dot{\gamma}^{\lambda^{-1} r}|) + \sigma^{(\tau)}_{\kappa^+_{\gamma^{\lambda^{-1} r}}/N}(|\dot{\gamma}^{\lambda^{-1} r}|) \right] d\tau \end{aligned}$$

we obtain, that

$$\begin{aligned} & N\left[\lambda a_{\gamma^{\lambda r}} + \lambda^{-1} a_{\tilde{\gamma}^{\lambda^{-1} r}}\right] \\ &= \int_0^1 \kappa(\gamma(\tau)) \left[\left((1-\tau)\lambda + \tau\lambda^{-1}\right) \left[\sigma^{(1-\tau)}_{\kappa^-_{\gamma^{\lambda r}}/N}(|\dot{\gamma}^{\lambda r}|) + \sigma^{(\tau)}_{\kappa^+_{\gamma^{\lambda r}}/N}(|\dot{\gamma}^{\lambda r}|) \right] \right] d\tau =: b_{\gamma^{\lambda r}} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if we set $g(r) = \mathrm{d}_X(y_{\lambda^{-1} r}, x_{\lambda r})^2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dr} g(r) \le -2 b_{\gamma^{\lambda r}} g(r) \\ & + 2N \left[\frac{\lambda}{\mathfrak{s}_{\kappa_{\gamma^{\lambda r}}/N}(|\dot{\gamma}^{\lambda r}|)} + \frac{\lambda^{-1}}{\mathfrak{s}_{\kappa^-_{\gamma^{\lambda r}}/N}(|\dot{\gamma}^{\lambda r}|)} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{\mathfrak{s}_{\kappa_{\gamma^{\lambda r}}/N}(|\dot{\gamma}^{\lambda r}|)\, \mathfrak{s}_{\kappa^-_{\gamma^{\lambda r}}/N}(|\dot{\gamma}^{\lambda r}|)}} \right] |\dot{\gamma}^{\lambda r}| \end{aligned}$$

or equivalently

(32)

$$\frac{d}{dr} g(r) \le -2 b_{\gamma^{\lambda r}} g(r) + 2N \left[\sqrt{\lambda \tfrac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0} \sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa_\gamma/N}(|\dot{\gamma}^{\lambda r}|)} - \sqrt{\lambda^{-1} \tfrac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0} \sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa^-_\gamma/N}(|\dot{\gamma}^{\lambda r}|)} \right]^2$$

Remark 6.3. If we set $\lambda = 1$, this becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dr} \mathrm{d}_X(y_s, x_s)^2 \le -2 \int_0^1 & \kappa(\gamma(\tau)) \left[\sigma^{(1-\tau)}_{\kappa^-_{\gamma^s}/N}(|\dot{\gamma}^s|) + \sigma^{(\tau)}_{\kappa^+_{\gamma^s}/N}(|\dot{\gamma}^s|) \right] d\tau\, \mathrm{d}_X(y_s, x_s)^2 \\ & + 2N \left[\sqrt{\tfrac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0} \sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa_\gamma}(|\dot{\gamma}^s|)} - \sqrt{\tfrac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0} \sigma^{(t)}_{\kappa^-_\gamma}(|\dot{\gamma}^s|)} \right]^2 \end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

If $\kappa = K$ is constant, then (33) simplifies to

$$\frac{d}{dr} \mathrm{d}_X(y_s, x_s)^2 \le -2K \int_0^1 \left[\sigma^{(1-\tau)}_{K/N}(\mathrm{d}_X(x_s, y_s)) + \sigma^{(\tau)}_{K/N}(\mathrm{d}_X(x_s, y_s)) \right] d\tau\, \mathrm{d}_X(y_s, x_s)^2$$

And if $N \to \infty$, (33) becomes

$$\frac{d}{dr} \mathrm{d}_X(y_s, x_s)^2 \le -2 \int_0^1 \kappa(\gamma(\tau)) d\tau\, \mathrm{d}_X(y_s, x_s)^2$$

If $\lambda \neq 1$, the second term in the right hand side in (32) tends to ∞ for $N \to \infty$.

If we follow the same reasoning, in the context of Wasserstein $EVI_{\kappa,N}$-gradient flow curves for a compact metric measure spaces, we obtain the next theorem.

Theorem 6.4. *Let $(X, \mathrm{d}_X, \mathrm{m}_X)$ be a compact metric measure spaces satisfying the condition $RCD^*(\kappa, N)$ where $\kappa : X \to \mathbb{R}$ is lower semi-continuous. Consider Wasserstein $EVI_{\kappa,N}$-gradient flow curves μ^s and ν^s with initial measures μ and ν. Let $\lambda, r > 0$. Then the following contraction estimate holds*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dr} W_2(\mu^{\lambda r}, \nu^{\lambda^{-1} r})^2 \\
&\quad \leq -2 \int_0^1 \kappa(\gamma(\tau)) \left[\left((1-\tau)\lambda + \tau \lambda^{-1} \right) \left(\sigma^{(1-\tau)}_{\kappa^-_{\Pi^{\lambda r}} \Theta^2_{\lambda r}/N} + \sigma^{(\tau)}_{\kappa^+_{\Pi^{\lambda r}} \Theta^2_{\lambda r}/N} \right) \right] d\tau \\
&\quad + 2N \left[\sqrt{\lambda \tfrac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0} \sigma^{(\tau)}_{\kappa^+_{\Pi^{\lambda r}} \Theta^2_{\lambda r}/N}} - \sqrt{\lambda^{-1} \tfrac{d}{dt}\big|_{t=0} \sigma^{(\tau)}_{\kappa^-_{\Pi^{\lambda r}} \Theta^2_{\lambda r}/N}} \right]^2
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

where $\Pi^{\lambda r}$ is the unique L^2-Wasserstein geodesic between $\mu^{\lambda r}$ and $\nu^{\lambda^{-1} r}$.

Remark 6.5. If we consider $\kappa = K$ constant, in the limit $W_2(\mu_0, \nu_0) \to 0$ the right hand side of (34) is

$$\sim -K \left(\lambda + \lambda^{-1} \right) W_2(\mu_0, \nu_0)^2 + 2N \left[\sqrt{\lambda} - \sqrt{\lambda^{-1}} \right]^2 . \tag{35}$$

That is the same assymptotic behaviour as the corresponding contraction estimates in [EKS15] (Remark 2.20) or in [Kuw15]. Hence, in Wasserstein space context with constant lower curvature bound our estimate yields the corresponding Bakry-Ledoux gradient estimate [EKS15, Kuw15, BL06].

REFERENCES

[AGMR15] Luigi Ambrosio, Nicola Gigli, Andrea Mondino, and Tapio Rajala, *Riemannian Ricci curvature lower bounds in metric measure spaces with σ-finite measure*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **367** (2015), no. 7, 4661–4701. MR 3335397

[AGS13] Luigi Ambrosio, Nicola Gigli, and Giuseppe Savaré, *Density of Lipschitz functions and equivalence of weak gradients in metric measure spaces*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. **29** (2013), no. 3, 969–996. MR 3090143

[AGS14a] ———, *Calculus and heat flow in metric measure spaces and applications to spaces with Ricci bounds from below*, Invent. Math. **195** (2014), no. 2, 289–391. MR 3152751

[AGS14b] ———, *Metric measure spaces with Riemannian Ricci curvature bounded from below*, Duke Math. J. **163** (2014), no. 7, 1405–1490. MR 3205729

[BBI01] Dmitri Burago, Yuri Burago, and Sergei Ivanov, *A course in metric geometry*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 33, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2001. MR 1835418 (2002e:53053)

[BL06] Dominique Bakry and Michel Ledoux, *A logarithmic Sobolev form of the Li-Yau parabolic inequality*, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. **22** (2006), no. 2, 683–702. MR 2294794 (2008m:58051)

[BS10] Kathrin Bacher and Karl-Theodor Sturm, *Localization and tensorization properties of the curvature-dimension condition for metric measure spaces*, J. Funct. Anal. **259** (2010), no. 1, 28–56. MR 2610378 (2011i:53050)

[EKS15] Matthias Erbar, Kazumasa Kuwada, and Karl-Theodor Sturm, *On the equivalence of the entropic curvature-dimension condition and Bochner's inequality on metric measure spaces*, Invent. Math. **201** (2015), no. 3, 993–1071. MR 3385639

[GMS] Nicola Gigli, Andrea Mondino, and Giuseppe Savar, *Convergence of pointed non-compact metric measure spaces and stability of Ricci curvature bounds and heat flows*, http://arxiv.org/abs/1311.4907.

[Ket] Christian Ketterer, *On the geometry of metric measure space with variable curvature bounded from below*, http://arxiv.org/abs/1506.03279.

[Kuw15] Kazumasa Kuwada, *Space-time Wasserstein controls and Bakry–Ledoux type gradient estimates*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **54** (2015), no. 1, 127–161. MR 3385156

[LV09] John Lott and Cédric Villani, *Ricci curvature for metric-measure spaces via optimal transport*, Ann. of Math. (2) **169** (2009), no. 3, 903–991. MR 2480619 (2010i:53068)

[RS14] Tapio Rajala and Karl-Theodor Sturm, *Non-branching geodesics and optimal maps in strong $CD(K,\infty)$-spaces*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **50** (2014), no. 3-4, 831–846. MR 3216835

[Stu] Karl-Theodor Sturm, *Metric Measure Spaces with Variable Ricci Bounds and Couplings of Brownina Motions*, preprint.

[Stu06a] ———, *On the geometry of metric measure spaces. I*, Acta Math. **196** (2006), no. 1, 65–131. MR 2237206 (2007k:53051a)

[Stu06b] ———, *On the geometry of metric measure spaces. II*, Acta Math. **196** (2006), no. 1, 133–177. MR 2237207 (2007k:53051b)

[Vil09] Cédric Villani, *Optimal transport, old and new*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 338, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009. MR 2459454 (2010f:49001)

E-mail address: `christian.ketterer@math.uni-freiburg.de`